Phosuwan, Saran:

INTERDISCIPLINARY APPROACHES TO TEACHING MATHEMATICS THROUGH ART, PROBABILITY, AND INTERCULTURAL LEARNING: EXPERIENCES FROM GREECE AND TURKEY[11]

Mathematics, though universally recognized as a language of logic and precision, is often perceived by students as abstract, intimidating, or disconnected from daily life. Research consistently reports that negative attitudes toward mathematics stem from its perceived isolation from creativity, culture, and personal meaning (Boaler, 2016; Niss & Højgaard, 2019). In contemporary higher and secondary education, teachers face the dual challenge of maintaining mathematical rigor while making learning experiences engaging, interdisciplinary, and culturally inclusive.

Interdisciplinary education, as emphasized by the OECD (2021), enables learners to connect knowledge across subjects, applying mathematical reasoning to artistic, social, and cultural contexts. Such integration not only promotes critical and creative thinking but also aligns with UNESCO's Sustainable Development Goal 4, which highlights inclusive and equitable quality education. Within this pedagogical movement, mathematics becomes a bridge — linking quantitative reasoning with visual arts, probability with uncertainty in everyday life, and mathematical logic with cultural diversity.

This paper presents two case studies conducted in non-formal educational settings in Greece and Turkey, where mathematics was taught through interdisciplinary frameworks connecting art, probability, and intercultural learning. The overarching objective is to demonstrate how mathematical concepts can be conveyed in ways that are simultaneously intellectually rigorous and culturally resonant.

The Greek case involved geometry instruction via artistic visualization, whereas the Turkish case explored probability and number theory through social interaction and the famous "Birthday Paradox." Both contexts emphasized experiential, collaborative learning, aligning with Vygotsky's (1978) sociocultural theory and Kolb's (1984) experiential learning cycle.

This research is significant for three reasons. First, it adds empirical evidence to the growing body of interdisciplinary mathematics pedagogy. Second, it provides cross-cultural insights relevant to the internationalization of education. Third, it illustrates how mathematics, often viewed as culturally neutral, can be a medium for intercultural communication and creativity.

Theoretical Framework and Literature Review

Interdisciplinarity in Mathematics Education

Interdisciplinary education seeks to dissolve rigid disciplinary boundaries, encouraging the synthesis of knowledge across fields (Beane, 1997). In mathematics, such approaches have been explored through project-based learning, art-science collaborations, and socio-cultural applications (Drake & Burns, 2004; Klein, 2005). Scholars have argued that integrating mathematics with art or social studies enhances learners' problem-solving and aesthetic appreciation (Burton, 2010; Sinclair et al., 2019).

Beane (1997) defines interdisciplinary curriculum as "a curriculum organized around significant problems and issues without regard for subject-matter boundaries." In the classroom, this translates into activities that contextualize mathematical reasoning within creative, ethical, or cultural dimensions. The interdisciplinary nature of mathematics becomes evident when learners recognize patterns, symmetry, and proportions not only as formal constructs but as artistic and cultural expressions (Jacobsen, 2015).

[11] https://doi.org/10.17048/Tanulasestarsadalom.2025.11

Art Integration and Mathematical Visualization

Art integration in mathematics education emphasizes the aesthetic dimension of mathematical thought. Research shows that visual art provides a powerful medium for exploring geometric and algebraic structures (Sriraman & Haavold, 2017). Integrating art fosters students' spatial reasoning, enhances motivation, and encourages the perception of mathematics as a creative discipline (Eisner, 2002; Burton, 2010).

Art-based mathematical learning has been successfully implemented through activities such as tessellation design, coordinate-plane artwork, and symmetry exploration (Mathews & Crawford, 2011). These methods promote dual engagement — analytical precision and imaginative freedom — which together deepen understanding. Sinclair et al. (2019) argue that the aesthetic experience is not peripheral to mathematics but central to its meaning-making process.

In the Greek context of this study, coordinate and polar systems were introduced through visual art creation, allowing students aged 13–15 to see mathematics as both an expressive and analytical tool. This aligns with current research that positions visual reasoning as essential for conceptual understanding (Presmeg, 2020).

Probability, Play, and Conceptual Understanding

Probability remains one of the most challenging areas for learners due to its abstract nature and counter-intuitive results (Garfield & Ben-Zvi, 2008). Recent studies suggest that informal, game-based, and experiential approaches significantly improve probabilistic reasoning (Pratt & Nunes, 2016). The "Birthday Paradox," used in the Turkish case study, exemplifies how paradoxical problems stimulate curiosity and reasoning about randomness (Jones & Thornton, 2005).

Learning through play and discussion allows adults and adolescents to construct intuitive understandings of probability distributions, combinatorics, and independence. Moreover, such social learning environments reflect constructivist principles: learners actively negotiate meaning through dialogue and experience (Fosnot, 2013).

Intercultural Learning and Mathematics as a Universal Language

Intercultural learning emphasizes understanding, respect, and communication between people of diverse cultural backgrounds (Byram, 1997). Mathematics, though often perceived as culture-free, can function as a shared symbolic system promoting mutual understanding (Bishop, 1988). Incorporating intercultural dimensions into mathematics teaching supports empathy, global citizenship, and the recognition of multiple epistemologies (Rosa & Orey, 2016).

In cross-national contexts such as Greece and Turkey, where learners and facilitators often come from different linguistic and cultural backgrounds, mathematics becomes an ideal medium for intercultural exchange. Activities that link Thai cultural elements — such as pattern design or probabilistic folk games — to European classroom practice serve to demonstrate mathematics as a universal yet culturally embedded discipline.

Experiential and Sociocultural Foundations

The theoretical grounding of this study draws on Vygotsky's (1978) sociocultural theory, which posits that learning occurs through social interaction and cultural mediation, and Kolb's (1984) experiential learning theory, which describes learning as a cyclic process of concrete experience, reflection, conceptualization, and experimentation. These frameworks justify the design of art-based and probability-based activities emphasizing collaboration, reflection, and contextualization.

When learners engage in creative or interactive mathematical experiences, they traverse all four stages of Kolb's cycle. Through cultural dialogue and group exploration, they also operate within Vygotsky's "zone of proximal development," extending their reasoning beyond individual limits through social support.

Research Context and Objectives

The present research was situated within two distinct intercultural environments—Greece and Turkey—where the author, a Thai mathematics student and educator, conducted non-formal teaching activities as part of international volunteer programs. Both contexts offered fertile ground for exploring how interdisciplinary pedagogies can enhance mathematics learning and foster intercultural dialogue.

The Greek context—London Calling School, Thessaloniki—represented a semi-formal educational environment emphasizing experiential English and STEM learning for adolescents aged 13–15. The Turkish context—Talk & Smile Café, İzmit—was a community-based adult education space emphasizing conversation and cultural exchange. These environments, while pedagogically distinct, shared a common ethos: learning through interaction, creativity, and inclusion.

The study aimed to address the following research questions:

1. How can interdisciplinary methods that integrate mathematics with art and probability promote conceptual understanding and engagement among learners?
2. What pedagogical strategies enable mathematics to serve as a medium for intercultural learning across diverse contexts?
3. What challenges and opportunities emerge when mathematics education is implemented in non-formal, multicultural environments?

By situating the research within cross-European volunteer experiences, this paper contributes to scholarship on intercultural pedagogy (Deardorff, 2020) and non-formal mathematics education (Schleicher, 2022). It also aligns with the United Nations Sustainable Development Goal 4, which emphasizes equitable and quality education through global partnership and innovation (UNESCO, 2023).

Methodology

Research Design

A qualitative, interpretive case-study approach (Merriam & Tisdell, 2016) was employed to capture the richness of teaching and learning processes within intercultural, interdisciplinary mathematics settings. Data were gathered through participant observation, teacher reflection logs, photographic documentation of classroom activities, and informal learner interviews.

This design was chosen because qualitative inquiry enables the researcher to explore meaning-making processes within specific sociocultural contexts (Creswell & Poth, 2018). Each case was analyzed inductively to identify themes related to learner engagement, conceptual understanding, and intercultural awareness. Triangulation of data sources enhanced the trustworthiness of interpretations (Lincoln & Guba, 1985).

Participants and Settings

- *Case 1 (Greece):* 12 students aged 13–15 from Greece, Albania, and Bulgaria participated in a two-week workshop on Mathematics and Art.
- *Case 2 (Turkey):* 10 adult participants aged 20–40 from Türkiye, Egypt, and Thailand joined a four-session Probability and Intercultural Learning activity.

Both programs were facilitated in English, with visual and interactive scaffolding to accommodate varying language proficiencies.

Data Collection and Analysis

Data collection combined structured observation checklists (class participation, collaboration, comprehension indicators), semi-structured post-activity interviews, and reflective field notes. Observations focused on behavioral engagement (on-task participation), emotional engagement (enthusiasm, curiosity), and cognitive engagement (conceptual explanation).

Data were coded using thematic analysis (Braun & Clarke, 2006), producing categories such as creative visualization, collaborative problem-solving, cultural exchange, and conceptual shift. Representative learner quotations and classroom artifacts were used to illustrate findings.

Case Study 1: Mathematics and Art in Greece

Pedagogical Objective. The Greek module aimed to integrate coordinate and polar geometry with visual-art design to enhance spatial reasoning and aesthetic appreciation. This aligns with research asserting that artistic visualization improves mathematical understanding by linking symbolic and perceptual representations (Sinclair et al., 2019; Presmeg, 2020).

Activity Structure. Over six sessions, students explored Cartesian and polar coordinate systems by constructing geometric art pieces—mandalas, spirals, and symmetrical patterns—using Desmos software and manual drawing. Each task linked algebraic equations to visual outcomes (e.g., plotting $r = a \sin k\theta$ to generate flower-like curves).

The lessons followed Kolb's (1984) experiential cycle:

1. Concrete experience: Creating geometric artwork;
2. Reflective observation: Discussing symmetry, ratio, and proportion;
3. Abstract conceptualization: Deriving equations for artistic shapes;
4. Active experimentation: Designing personal projects integrating cultural motifs.

Students were encouraged to integrate Thai, Greek, and regional decorative patterns to highlight mathematical symmetry as a shared cultural expression.

Findings from Greece. Analysis indicated that learners exhibited heightened engagement and improved geometric comprehension. Most participants described mathematics as "creative" and "art-like" in post-activity interviews. Observation logs noted increased peer collaboration and persistence when mathematical tasks were framed as aesthetic challenges.

These results corroborate prior studies showing that visual art enhances spatial-temporal reasoning and self-efficacy in mathematics (Furner & Berman, 2003; Mathews & Crawford, 2011). Furthermore, cultural pattern analysis stimulated discussions about design traditions, fostering intercultural curiosity among students.

Case Study 2: Probability and Intercultural Learning in Turkey

Pedagogical Objective. The Turkish case explored the Happy Birthday Paradox to illustrate counter-intuitive reasoning in probability and to initiate intercultural dialogue. The paradox—calculating the probability that two individuals in a group share the same birthday—was selected for its simplicity and potential for interactive exploration.

Research shows that paradoxes can promote conceptual change by confronting learners' misconceptions (Jones & Thornton, 2005; Pratt & Nunes, 2016). The activity also provided opportunities for intercultural exchange, as participants discussed cultural beliefs and practices surrounding birthdays and luck.

Activity Design. The session proceeded in four phases:

1. Prediction: Participants estimated the group size required for a shared birthday.
2. Simulation: The group conducted random draws to model birthday coincidences, using colored cards representing days of the year.
3. Calculation: Facilitator guided computation of $P(\text{match}) = 1 - (365 \times 364 \times \ldots \times (365 - n + 1))/365^n$.

4. Reflection: Discussion linked mathematical reasoning with cultural interpretations of coincidence and destiny.

The informal café setting encouraged humor and storytelling, making abstract probability concepts accessible to adults with diverse educational backgrounds.

Findings from Turkey. Participants reported surprise and enjoyment upon discovering that the probability exceeds 50% with only 23 people. Many associated this insight with real-life events, illustrating transfer of mathematical reasoning to personal experience.

Observation data revealed strong affective engagement: laughter, collective reasoning, and analogical comparisons ("It's like when coincidences happen in life"). Conceptually, learners improved in distinguishing between random independence and causal assumptions—an advancement consistent with research on intuitive probability (Garfield & Ben-Zvi, 2008).

The activity also facilitated intercultural empathy: participants compared Thai, Turkish, and Egyptian customs regarding luck and birthdays, recognizing shared human curiosity about chance and order. Mathematics functioned here not merely as computation but as a common cognitive language enabling dialogue.

Cross-Case Synthesis

Comparative analysis of the two cases identified three recurring themes:

1. Contextualization enhances comprehension: When mathematical abstractions were embedded in meaningful visual or social contexts, learners internalized concepts more effectively (Boaler, 2016).
2. Collaboration drives engagement: Peer interaction—whether artistic co-creation or probabilistic debate—encouraged persistence and reduced math anxiety.
3. Intercultural framing fosters openness: Using mathematics as a medium for cultural exchange generated curiosity and respect among learners of diverse backgrounds.

These findings align with global trends emphasizing creativity and intercultural competence as essential 21st-century skills (OECD, 2021; UNESCO, 2023).

Findings and Discussion

The dual-case investigation in Greece and Turkey yielded convergent findings on how interdisciplinary, culturally embedded mathematics teaching fosters both conceptual and affective learning outcomes. Three broad thematic domains emerged: cognitive development through contextualization, affective engagement through collaboration, and intercultural awareness through shared meaning-making.

Cognitive Development through Contextualization

Learners in both contexts demonstrated improved conceptual understanding when mathematical ideas were situated in concrete, meaningful experiences. In Greece, artistic visualization transformed coordinate geometry from an abstract algebraic domain into a sensory exploration of symmetry and proportion. In Turkey, probabilistic reasoning was reconstructed through tangible simulations and narrative reflection.

These observations resonate with constructivist perspectives, which maintain that learners build knowledge by connecting new information to lived experience (Fosnot, 2013; Kolb, 1984). By embedding mathematics in cultural and creative contexts, the activities satisfied the need for what Boaler (2016) terms "mathematical agency" — the sense that learners can use mathematics to express ideas and explore phenomena relevant

to their lives. The resulting conceptual gains validate arguments that contextual and project-based learning can substantially enhance mathematical literacy (Niss & Højgaard, 2019; OECD, 2021).

Affective Engagement and Collaborative Learning

Affective engagement emerged as a critical mediator of learning success. Both adolescents and adults reported enjoyment, curiosity, and reduced anxiety toward mathematics. Observational data confirmed that laughter, aesthetic appreciation, and collective discovery sustained motivation even during cognitively demanding phases.

These findings support the socio-emotional model of mathematics engagement proposed by Pekrun et al. (2017), which links positive emotions to deeper cognitive processing. The integration of collaborative dialogue and artistic or playful tasks aligns with research showing that peer communication enhances self-efficacy and persistence (Goos, 2004). The classroom became a micro-community where learners negotiated meaning, tested hypotheses, and celebrated shared achievements — conditions favorable to sustained mathematical reasoning.

Intercultural Awareness and the Universal Dimension of Mathematics

Perhaps the most distinctive finding concerns intercultural learning. Participants spontaneously compared aesthetic motifs, cultural beliefs about chance, and linguistic expressions of numerical ideas. Such exchanges reframed mathematics as a common human language capable of bridging cultural differences.

This aligns with Byram's (1997) model of intercultural communicative competence, which includes knowledge, skills of interpreting, and attitudes of openness. Mathematics functioned as a neutral yet expressive domain through which these competencies could develop. Similar insights have been advanced in ethnomathematics, emphasizing mathematics as a culturally situated practice (Rosa & Orey, 2016; Bishop, 1988).

The Thai facilitator's integration of national artistic patterns and cultural narratives further deepened mutual understanding. Participants recognized that while mathematical symbols are universal, their meanings acquire richness when filtered through art, tradition, and human story. Such outcomes suggest that intercultural mathematics education can simultaneously cultivate global citizenship and epistemic humility — qualities essential in today's interconnected world (Deardorff, 2020; UNESCO, 2023).

Pedagogical Challenges and Limitations

Despite the overall success, the study encountered several challenges. Language barriers occasionally constrained precision in mathematical discussion; facilitators mitigated this through visual aids and gestures. Limited timeframes in non-formal contexts restricted longitudinal assessment of conceptual retention. Furthermore, small sample sizes limit generalizability, though the depth of qualitative evidence supports theoretical transferability (Lincoln & Guba, 1985).

Another limitation concerns resource disparity: the Greek program benefited from technological tools (e.g., Desmos), whereas the Turkish sessions relied on manual simulation. Future research might investigate how digital platforms can enhance intercultural mathematical collaboration across remote or hybrid settings (Hoyles et al., 2021).

Theoretical Integration

Synthesizing the empirical insights with the theoretical frameworks underscores three intersections:

1. Vygotskian social constructivism: Social dialogue and cultural mediation were the primary vehicles of knowledge construction.
2. Kolbian experiential learning: Learners cycled through concrete experience, reflection, abstraction, and experimentation.

3. Aesthetic cognition theory: The fusion of mathematical structure and artistic beauty produced emotional resonance that reinforced understanding (Sinclair et al., 2019).

Collectively, these frameworks reveal that mathematics education attains its fullest pedagogical power when it engages the mind, heart, and culture simultaneously.

Conclusion and Implications

This study demonstrates that interdisciplinary and intercultural approaches can reframe mathematics from a domain of abstraction into one of connection, creativity, and community. Across both European contexts, learners showed enhanced comprehension, motivation, and intercultural sensitivity when mathematics was contextualized through art and probability.

The findings reinforce the proposition that mathematics is both universal and culturally expressive. By integrating art, narrative, and social interaction, educators can nurture cognitive flexibility, aesthetic appreciation, and global competence — outcomes aligned with UNESCO's vision of transformative education.

Pedagogical Implications

Curricular design: Mathematics educators should incorporate cross-disciplinary modules linking geometry with art, or statistics with real-world uncertainties.

Teacher training: Professional development programs should include intercultural communication and creative pedagogy components.

Non-formal learning: Community-based mathematics initiatives, such as cafés or cultural centers, can democratize access to meaningful mathematical experiences.

Policy: Educational frameworks in the EU and beyond should recognize interdisciplinary mathematics as a tool for sustainable development and intercultural understanding.

Future Research

Further empirical work should extend these exploratory findings through longitudinal mixed-method studies examining retention, transfer, and emotional impact. Digital collaboration across countries could also be tested as a scalable model for intercultural mathematics learning.

Ultimately, mathematics — when taught as an art of reasoning and a language of humanity — can transcend classroom boundaries and serve as a bridge across cultures, generations, and disciplines.

References

Beane, J. A. (1997). *Curriculum integration: Designing the core of democratic education*. Teachers College Press.

Bishop, A. J. (1988). *Mathematical enculturation: A cultural perspective on mathematics education*. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-009-2657-8

Boaler, J. (2016). *Mathematical mindsets*. Jossey-Bass.

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa

Burton, L. (2010). *The culture of mathematics and the aesthetic experience of teaching and learning*. Springer.

Byram, M. (1997). Teaching and assessing intercultural communicative competence. *Multilingual Matters*.

Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). *Qualitative inquiry and research design* (4th ed.). Sage.

Deardorff, D. K. (2020). *Manual for developing intercultural competencies*. UNESCO. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429244612

Drake, S. M., & Burns, R. C. (2004). *Meeting standards through integrated curriculum*. ASCD.

Eisner, E. W. (2002). *The arts and the creation of mind*. Yale University Press.

Fosnot, C. T. (2013). *Constructivism: Theory, perspectives, and practice* (2nd ed.). Teachers College Press.

Furner, J. M., & Berman, B. T. (2003). Math and literature: A teaching connection. *The Clearing House*, 76(6), 278–283. https://doi.org/10.1080/00098650309602019

Garfield, J., & Ben-Zvi, D. (2008). *Developing students' statistical reasoning*. Springer.

Goos, M. (2004). Learning mathematics in a classroom community of inquiry. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 35(4), 258–291. https://doi.org/10.2307/30034810

Hoyles, C., Noss, R., Kent, P., & Bakker, A. (2021). *Mathematics education and technology—Rethinking the terrain*. Springer.

Jacobsen, L. (2015). Visual approaches in mathematical education. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 89(3), 321–339.

Jones, G. A., & Thornton, C. A. (2005). Analyzing students' reasoning in probability paradoxes. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 36(2), 113–137.

Klein, J. T. (2005). *Integrative learning and interdisciplinary studies*. AAC&U.

Kolb, D. A. (1984). *Experiential learning*. Prentice Hall.

Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). *Naturalistic inquiry*. Sage. https://doi.org/10.1016/0147-1767(85)90062-8

Mathews, S., & Crawford, L. (2011). Integrating art into middle school mathematics. *Mathematics Teaching in the Middle School*, 16(8), 482–489.

Merriam, S. B., & Tisdell, E. J. (2016). *Qualitative research: A guide to design and implementation* (4th ed.). Jossey-Bass.

Niss, M., & Højgaard, T. (2019). *Mathematical competencies revisited*. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10649-019-09903-9

OECD. (2021). *Future of education and skills 2030*. OECD Publishing.

Pekrun, R., Linnenbrink-Garcia, L., & Tetzlaff, L. (2017). Emotions and motivation in mathematics education. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 94(3), 307–324.

Presmeg, N. C. (2020). Visualization and imagination in mathematics education research. *ZDM – Mathematics Education*, 52(1), 45–58.

Pratt, D., & Nunes, T. (2016). Constructing meanings for randomness. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 93(1), 55–75.

Rosa, M., & Orey, D. C. (2016). *Ethnomathematics and its diverse approaches for mathematics education*. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-59220-6

Schleicher, A. (2022). *The state of global education*. OECD Publishing.

Sinclair, N., Pimm, D., & Skovsmose, O. (2019). *Mathematics and the aesthetic*. Springer.

Sriraman, B., & Haavold, P. (2017). *Creativity in mathematics education*. Springer Handbook of Creativity. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-77487-9_33-7

UNESCO. (2023). *Education for sustainable development: A roadmap*. UNESCO Publishing.

Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society*. Harvard University Press.