
In my lecture 'Remarkable finds of bryophytes in Hungary during the last two years (2015–2017)' two species were erroneously reported from Hungary, the specimens were misidentified or in the case of a third species doubtful:

- *Campylopus subulatus* – delete. The plants from Jeli Arborétum were a form of *C. pyriformis*.
- *Fossombronia incurva* – delete. The specimens represent *F. pusilla* with immature spores.
- *Riccia beyrichiana* – doubtful.

One of the locations given for *Syntrichia ruralis* var. *epilosa* is also erroneous – delete Keszthelyi-hg. 9270.1, the specimen represents *S. montana* var. *calva* (rev. Gallego); the record from Kőszegi-hg. 8665.1 is correct (det. Gallego).

Stunted plants of *Campylopus pyriformis* sometimes lack stereids in the cross section of the costa and can then be confused with *C. subulatus* (Smith 2004). In Jeli Arborétum we found two different forms of *C. pyriformis*, which are also known as mod. *fragilis* and mod. *muelleri* (Frahm and Frey 2004). They differ in the means of vegetative propagation: In mod. *fragilis*, specialized short leaves with a concave ovate base are often scattered above the plants (*Figure 1*), whereas in mod. *muelleri* the deciduous leaves are
normal in shape. However, sometimes the plants produce also shoot tips that break off (Figure 2), these plants show some resemblance to *C. subulatus*. We thought that two plants as different in habit must be two different species, but careful examination and comparison with authentic material led to the conclusion, that both forms belong to *C. pyriformis*.

I gratefully acknowledge the help of the late W. Schröder and L. Meinunger and of M.T. Gallego, Th. Homm and M. Reimann in the revision of our specimens.

![Figure 1 and 2. Campylopus pyriformis, Jeli Arborétum (Photo: Cs. Németh)](image)
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