

SOME REMARKS ON CERTAIN DIOPHANTINE EQUATIONS

ABSTRACT: The paper gives results on the solutions of the equations

$$a_0x^n + a_1x^{n-1}y + \dots + a_ny^n = m, \quad x^p + y^p = z^2,$$

$$\frac{4}{n} = \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{y} + \frac{1}{z} \text{ and } \frac{5}{n} = \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{y} + \frac{1}{z},$$

where m, n are integers and $p (>3)$ is a prime.

1. Introduction

In this paper we give some remarks concerning the following problems:

1° Let $\varphi_n(x, y)$ denotes the form of degree $n \geq 3$ and let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ then the equation

$$(1.1) \quad \varphi_n(x, y) = m$$

has $N_1 \leq n$ so called regular solutions in $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$.

2° If $(x, y) = 1$ and $p > 3$ is a prime and the equation

$$(1.2) \quad x^p + y^p = z^2$$

has a solution in integers x, y, z then

$$p | z \text{ or } p | \varphi(z),$$

where φ denotes the Euler function.

3° Let

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{4}{n} = \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{y} + \frac{1}{z}$$

and

$$(1.4) \quad \frac{5}{n} = \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{y} + \frac{1}{z}$$

Erdős and Straus conjectured, that the equation (1.3) has a positive solution in integers x, y, z for every natural number $n \geq 2$ (see [4], Open problems, p.58 ; No 18). Similar conjecture was posed by Sierpinski (see [4], Open

problems, p.58, No 19) for the equation (1.4).

We give explicit form of solutions of (1.3) for $n=4k$, $4k+2$, $4k+3$, $8k+5$, $k=1,2,\dots$ and for $n=4k$, $4k+2$, $4k+3$, $k=1,2,\dots$ of the equation (1.4).

2. Regular solutions of the equation $\varphi_n(x,y)=m$.

J. H. Evertse [2] proved that if

$$(2.1) \quad N = \text{card} \left\{ \langle x, y \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : \varphi_n(x, y) = m \right\},$$

where $n \geq 3$, $\varphi_n(x, 1)$ is a polynomial, which has three distinct roots and $t = \omega(|m|)$, where $\omega(|m|)$ denotes the number of distinct prime divisors of $|m|$, then

$$(2.2) \quad N \leq n \left(\frac{15}{7} \left[\binom{n}{3} + 1 \right]^2 + \frac{2}{6 \cdot 7} \binom{n}{3} (t+1) \right)$$

An improvement of (2.2) was given by E. Bombieri and W.M. Schmidt [1]. Let $F(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ be an irreducible form of degree $n \geq 3$ and let $N_{n, m}$ be the number of solutions of the equation

$$(2.3) \quad \varphi_n(x, y) = m$$

in integers x, y such that $(x, y) = 1$, then

$$(2.4) \quad N_{n, m} < C_1 n^{t+1}$$

where C_1 is an absolute constant. If $n > C_2$, then

$$(2.5) \quad N_{n, m} < 215 n^{t+1}$$

where $\langle x, y \rangle \equiv \langle -x, -y \rangle$.

In this part we consider so called regular solutions of (2.3).

Let $\varphi_n(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ and $n \geq 3$, and let

$$(2.6) \quad \varphi_n(x, y) = a_0 x^n + a_1 x^{n-1} y + \dots + a_n y^n = m.$$

Let $d = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n]$, $|m| > 1$, $m \nmid d$ and suppose that (2.6) has at least two solutions. Let

$$(2.7) \quad \langle x_k, y_k \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}^2, \quad k=1, 2, \dots$$

denote the sequence of solutions of (2.6). The sequence (2.7) will be called regular if

$$(a) \quad \text{for every } 1 \leq k, \quad \det \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & y_1 \\ x_k & y_k \end{bmatrix} \neq 0$$

$$(b) \quad \text{for every } 1 \leq k, \quad \left(m, \quad \det \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & y_1 \\ x_k & y_k \end{bmatrix} \right) = 1.$$

We prove the following theorem:

THEOREM 1. Let N_1 denote the number of regular solutions of (2.6) and let $|m| > 1$, $m \nmid d$, where $d = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n]$. Then

$$(2.8) \quad N_1 \leq n.$$

PROOF. Suppose that the equation (2.6) has $N_1 > n$ regular solutions

$$\langle x_1, y_1 \rangle, \langle x_2, y_2 \rangle, \dots, \langle x_{n+1}, y_{n+1} \rangle,$$

then from (2.6) we get

$$(2.9) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} a_0 x_1^n + a_1 x_1^{n-1} y_1 + \dots + a_n y_1^n = m \\ a_0 x_2^n + a_1 x_2^{n-1} y_2 + \dots + a_n y_2^n = m \\ \dots \\ a_0 x_{n+1}^n + a_1 x_{n+1}^{n-1} y_{n+1} + \dots + a_n y_{n+1}^n = m \end{array} \right.$$

The fundamental determinant of the system (2.9) has the form

$$(2.10) \quad \Delta = \begin{vmatrix} x_1^n, x_1^{n-1}y_1, \dots, & y_1^n \\ x_2^n, x_2^{n-1}y_2, \dots, & y_2^n \\ \dots & \dots \\ x_{n+1}^n, x_{n+1}^{n-1}y_{n+1}, \dots, & y_{n+1}^n \end{vmatrix}$$

It is easy to see that from (2.10)

$$(2.11) \quad \Delta = \prod_{1 \leq i < k \leq n+1} (y_k x_i - x_k y_i) =$$

$$= \prod_{1 \leq i < k \leq n+1} \det \begin{bmatrix} x_i & y_i \\ x_k & y_k \end{bmatrix}$$

follows. Thus by (2.11) and (a) it follows that $\Delta \neq 0$, and therefore by Crammer's rule we obtain

$$(2.12) \quad a_0 = \frac{m \cdot \Delta'_1}{\Delta}, \quad a_1 = \frac{m \cdot \Delta'_2}{\Delta}, \dots, \quad a_n = \frac{m \cdot \Delta'_{n+1}}{\Delta}$$

Since $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, from (2.12) we have

$$(2.13) \quad \Delta | m \cdot \Delta'_i \quad \text{for every } i=1, 2, \dots, n+1.$$

But $(m, \Delta) = 1$, since from (b) $\left(m, \det \begin{bmatrix} x_i & y_i \\ x_k & y_k \end{bmatrix} \right) = 1$ and

from (2.13) we get

$$(2.14) \quad \Delta | \Delta'_i \quad \text{for every } i=1, 2, \dots, n+1.$$

Thus by (2.14) and (2.12) it follows

$$a_0 = m \frac{\Delta'_1}{\Delta}, \quad a_1 = m \frac{\Delta'_2}{\Delta}, \dots, \quad a_n = m \frac{\Delta'_{n+1}}{\Delta}$$

where

$$\frac{\Delta'_i}{\Delta} \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{for every } i=1, 2, \dots, n+1,$$

and therefore $m|a_j$ for $j=0,1,\dots,n$. Since $d = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n]$, thus $d|a_j$ and therefore $m|d$. It contradicts to our conditions $|m|>1$ and $m\nmid d$ so the proof is complete.

We remark that by similar method we can prove the well-known Lagrange's theorem concerning the number of solutions of the congruence

$$f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

where $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and p is a prime (comp. [3]).

3. On the equation $x^p + y^p = z^2$

In 1977 G.Terjanian [8] proved that if the equation
 $x^{2p} + y^{2p} = z^{2p}$,

where p is odd, has a solution in integers x,y,z , then

$$2p|x \text{ or } 2p|y.$$

In 1981 A.Rotkiewicz [5] improved this result showing that if $x^{2p} + y^{2p} = z^{2p}$ has a solution in integers x,y,z , where p is an odd prime, then $8p^3|x$ or $8p^3|y$.

In 1982 A.Rotkiewicz [6] obtained that if $(x,y)=1$ and $p>3$ is a prime and $p|z$ and $2\nmid z$ or $p\nmid z$ and $2|z$, then the equation

$$(3.1) \quad x^p + y^p = z^2$$

has no solution in integers x,y,z .

For other results see also [7].

In this part we prove the following theorem:

THEOREM 2. Suppose that $(x,y)=1$ and $p>3$ is a prime. If the equation (3.1) has a solution in integers x,y,z , then

$$(3.2) \quad p|z \text{ or } p|\varphi(z),$$

where φ denotes the Euler function.

PROOF. First, we prove that if $(x, y)=1$, $n < m$ and

$$(3.3) \quad x^n + y^n \mid x^m - y^m,$$

then

$$(3.4) \quad n \mid m.$$

Since $m > n$ thus $m = nq + r$, where $0 \leq r < n$. We consider two cases:

(i) q is an odd number,

(ii) q is an even number.

In the case (i), we have

$$(3.5) \quad x^m - y^m =$$

$$= x^r [x^n + y^n] [x^{n(q-1)} - x^{n(q-2)}y + \dots - y^{n(q-1)}] - y^{nq} [x^r + y^r].$$

Since $[x^n + y^n, y^{nq}] = 1$ thus from (3.3) and (3.5) we get

$$(3.6) \quad x^n + y^n \mid x^r + y^r,$$

which is impossible if $r > 0$. Thus $r=0$ and therefore $n \mid m$.

In case (ii) we have $q = 2^\alpha q'$, where $(2, q')=1$, $\alpha \geq 1$ and therefore for $m = n 2^\alpha q' + r$, $0 \leq r < n$ we get

$$(3.7) \quad x^m - y^m = x^r \left[(x^{nq'})^{2^\alpha} - (y^{nq'})^{2^\alpha} \right] + (y^{nq'})^{2^\alpha} (x^r - y^r).$$

Since

$$x^n + y^n \mid x^r \left[(x^{nq'})^{2^\alpha} - (y^{nq'})^{2^\alpha} \right]$$

thus by assumption (3.3) and (3.7) we obtain

$$x^n + y^n \mid x^r - y^r,$$

and similarly as above it is impossible if $r > 0$. Thus $r=0$ and $n \mid m$ follows. Since $(x, y)=1$,

$$(3.8) \quad [x, x^n + y^n] = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad [y, x^n + y^n] = 1$$

and therefore from Euler's theorem we have

$$(3.9) \quad x^{\varphi(x^n+y^n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{x^n+y^n}$$

and

$$(3.10) \quad y^{\varphi(x^n+y^n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{x^n+y^n}.$$

From (3.9) and (3.10) we get

$$(3.11) \quad x^n+y^n \mid x^{\varphi(x^n+y^n)} - y^{\varphi(x^n+y^n)}.$$

By (3.3), (3.14) it follows that

$$(3.12) \quad n \mid \varphi(x^n+y^n).$$

If the equation (3.1) has a solution in integers x, y, z such that $(x, y) = 1$, then

$$(3.13) \quad \varphi(x^p+y^p) = \varphi(z^2).$$

From (3.13) and (3.12) we get

$$(3.14) \quad p \mid \varphi(z^2).$$

It is easy to see that $\varphi(z^2) = z \cdot \varphi(z)$ for arbitrary fixed integer z , therefore we get

$$p \mid z \text{ or } p \mid \varphi(z)$$

and the proof is finished.

4. On a conjecture of Erdős – Straus and Sierpinski.

In this part we prove two theorems.

THEOREM 3. The equation

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{4}{n} = \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{y} + \frac{1}{z}$$

has a solution in positive integers x, y, z for every $n=4k$, $4k+2$, $4k+3$, $8k+5$, where $k=1, 2, \dots$

The proof follows from the following identities:

$$\frac{4}{4k} = \frac{1}{k+2} + \frac{1}{k(k+1)} + \frac{1}{(k+1)(k+2)}$$

$$\frac{4}{4k+2} = \frac{1}{k+2} + \frac{1}{(k+1)(k+2)} + \frac{1}{(k+1)(2k+1)}$$

$$\frac{4}{4k+3} = \frac{1}{k+2} + \frac{1}{(k+1)(k+2)} + \frac{1}{(k+1)(4k+3)}$$

$$\frac{4}{8k+5} = \frac{1}{2(k+1)} + \frac{1}{(k+1)(8k+5)} + \frac{1}{2(k+1)(8k+5)}$$

THEOREM 4. The equation

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{5}{n} = \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{y} + \frac{1}{z}$$

has a solution in positive integers x, y, z for every $n=4k$, $4k+2$, $4k+3$, where $k=1, 2, \dots$

The proof follows from the following identities:

$$\frac{5}{4k} = \frac{1}{k+1} + \frac{1}{4k} + \frac{1}{k(k+1)}$$

$$\frac{5}{4k+2} = \frac{1}{k+1} + \frac{1}{2(2k+1)} + \frac{1}{(k+1)(2k+1)}$$

$$\frac{5}{4k+3} = \frac{1}{k+1} + \frac{1}{4k+3} + \frac{1}{(k+1)(4k+3)}$$

- 22 -

REFERENCES

- [1] E.Bombieri and W.M.Schmidt, On Thue's equation ,
Invent. Math. (1987), 69-81.
- [2] J.H.Evertse , Upper bounds for the numbers of solutions
of diophantine equations , Math. Centre Tracts ,
(1983), No 168,123
- [3] A.Grytczuk , Sur une methode de demonstration du
theoreme de Lagrange sur des racines congruence
 $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, Discuss. Math. I(1975), 13-16.
- [4] W.Narkiewicz , Classical problems in Number Theory ,
PWN Warszawa, 1986.
- [5] A.Rotkiewicz , On Fermat's equation with exponent $2p$,
Coll. Math. (1981), 101-102.
- [6] A.Rotkiewicz , On the equation $x^p+y^p=z^2$, Bull. de
l'Acad. Polon. Sci. Vol. XXX, No 5-6, (1982), 211-214.
- [7] A.Rotkiewicz and A.Schinzel , On the diophantine
equation $x^p+y^{2p}=z^2$, Coll. Math. (1987), 147-152.
- [8] G.Terjanian , Sur l'équation $x^{2p}+y^{2p}=z^{2p}$, C.R. Acad.
Sci. Paris, 285 (1977), 973-975.