

## ON A PROBLEM CONNECTED WITH MATRICES OVER $Z_3$

Aleksander Grytczuk (Zielona Góra, Poland)

**Abstract:** In this note we give an explicit form of the matrix  $A = (a_{ij})_{n \times n}$  with elements  $a_{ij} \in Z_3$ , which satisfy all conditions of some problem posed by Stewart M. Venit (see [3], p. 476 — Unsolved Problems). Moreover, we prove that if  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$  are the characteristic roots of this matrix then for every prime number  $p$  the following congruence is true  $\alpha_1^p + \alpha_2^p + \dots + \alpha_n^p \equiv 2n - 1 \pmod{p}$ .

### 1. Introduction

In [3] (p. 476 — Unsolved Problems — TYCMJ 186 — by Stewart M. Venit) one can find the following problem: For each positive integer  $n$  show that there is one and only one  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  satisfying the following conditions:

(C1) all entries of  $A$  are in the set  $\{0, 1, 2\}$

(C2) the submatrix consisting of the first  $k$  rows and  $k$  columns of  $A$  has determinant equal to  $k$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

(C3) all entries of  $A$  not on the main diagonal or not on the diagonals directly above or below are zero.

In the present note we prove that the matrix  $A_n = (a_{ij})_{n \times n}$ , where  $a_{ij} \in Z_3 = \{0, 1, 2\}$  and  $a_{12} = a_{21} = 0$  given by

$$a_{ij} = a_{ji} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = j = 1 \text{ or } |i - j| = 1 \text{ for } \max(i, j) \geq 3 \\ 2, & \text{if } i = j \geq 2 \\ 0, & \text{in the other cases and if } (i, j) = (1, 2) \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

satisfies the conditions (C1)–(C3) and is determined uniquely.

### 2. Results

First, we prove the following

**Theorem 1.** *For each positive integer  $n \geq 2$  there is exactly one of the matrix  $A_n = (a_{ij})_{n \times n}$  with elements over  $Z_3$  such that the conditions (C1)–(C3) are satisfied. The matrix  $A_n$  given by (1) has the following form:*

$$A_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}_{n \times n} \quad (2)$$

**Proof of Theorem 1.** It is easy to see that for  $n = 2$  the matrix  $A_2$  satisfying the conditions (C1)–(C3) is the form

$$A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and we see that the matrix  $A_2$  is determined uniquely. For  $n = 3$  we obtain that the matrix  $A_3$  has the following form

$$A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

We note that the matrix  $A_3$  is determined uniquely and the conditions (C1)–(C3) are satisfied. Further, we shall prove Theorem 1 by induction with respect to  $n$ . Suppose that  $m \geq 3$  and the matrices  $A_n$  for  $n \leq m$  has the form (2) and are determined uniquely. By inductive assumption it follows that the matrix  $A_{m+1}$  has the following form

$$A_{m+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 2 & y \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & x & z \end{pmatrix}_{(m+1) \times (m+1)} \quad (3)$$

where  $x, y, z \in Z_3 = \{0, 1, 2\}$ . Suppose that in (3) we have  $x = y = 1$  and  $z = 2$ . Using Laplace's theorem to the first row of the matrix  $A_{m+1}$  we obtain

$$\det A_{m+1} = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}_{m \times m}$$

On the other hand it is well-known (see [2], p. 39) that

$$\det A_{m+1} = m + 1. \quad (4)$$

By (4) and the inductive assumption it follows that the matrix  $A_{m+1}$  satisfies the conditions (C1)–(C3), if  $x = y = 1$  and  $z = 2$ . Now, we can assume that the elements  $x, y, z \in Z_3$  take different values than  $x = y = 1$  and  $z = 2$ . Using Laplace's theorem to (3) with respect to the last row and by the inductive assumption we obtain

$$\det A_{m+1} = mz - xy(m - 1). \quad (5)$$

Consequently, we can consider the following equation generated by (5)

$$mz - xy(m - 1) = m + 1 \quad (6)$$

where  $x, y, z \in Z_3 = \{0, 1, 2\}$ . Analyzing (6) we obtain, that this equation has exactly one solution in elements  $x, y, z \in Z_3$ , namely  $x = y = 1$  and  $z = 2$ . Therefore the matrix  $A_{m+1}$  is determined uniquely. Hence the inductive proof is complete.

Now, we prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $A_n$  be the matrix defined by (1) and let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$  be the characteristic roots of  $A_n$ . Then for every prime number  $p$ , the following congruence*

$$\alpha_1^p + \alpha_2^p + \dots + \alpha_n^p \equiv 2n - 1 \pmod{p} \quad (7)$$

*holds.*

**Proof of Theorem 2.** It is well-known that if  $f \in Z[x]$  and  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  are the roots of  $f$ , then

$$S_{jp} \equiv S_j \pmod{p} \quad (8)$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \dots$  and every prime number  $p$ , where

$$S_k = x_1^k + x_2^k + \dots + x_n^k.$$

The congruence (8) has been noticed without proof by E. Lucas in 1878. The proof of (8) one can find, for example in [1]. Substituting  $j = 1$  in (8) and remarked that

$$S_1 = \text{Tr} A_n = 2n - 1$$

we obtain, that

$$S_p = \alpha_1^p + \alpha_2^p + \dots + \alpha_n^p \equiv S_1 = 2n - 1 \pmod{p}$$

and the proof of the Theorem 2 is complete.

Substituting  $n = p$  in (7), where  $p$  is a prime number we obtain the following

**Corollary.** *Let  $p$  be a prime number and let  $\alpha_j$   $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$  be the characteristic roots of the matrix  $A_p$  given by (1), then*

$$\alpha_1^p + \alpha_2^p + \dots + \alpha_p^p \equiv -1 \pmod{p}.$$

I would like to thank Professor Peter Kiss for his valuable remarks and comments for the improvement of the exposition of this paper.

### References

- [1] DOBROWOLSKI, E., On the maximal modulus of conjugates of an algebraic integer, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci.* Vol. 26. No. 4 (1978), pp. 291–292.
- [2] KOSTRIKIN, A. I., Collection of problems of algebra, PWN Warszawa, 1995. (in Polish)
- [3] RABINOWITZ, S., Index to Mathematical Problems 1980–1984, Westford, Massachusetts, 1992.

**Aleksander Grytczuk**

Institute of Mathematics

T. Kotarbiński Pedagogical University

65-069 Zielona Góra, Poland

E-mail: [agryt@lord.wsp.zgora.pl](mailto:agryt@lord.wsp.zgora.pl)