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## SOME ALGEBRAIC PROPERTIES OF LINEAR RECURRENCES


#### Abstract

In the paper a definition of a form associated to a linear recurrence is given without the restriction that the roots of its characteristic polynomial are different and moreover some properties of this form are studied. This is an extension of some results of P.Kiss (1983.)


## 1. Introduction.

A linear recurrence $G=\left\{G_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of order $k(>1)$ is defined by rational integers $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{k}$ and by recursion $G_{n}=A_{1} G_{n-1}+\ldots+A_{k} G_{n-k}, n \geq k$, where the initial values $G_{0}, G_{1}, \ldots, G_{k-1}$ are fixed rational integers not all zero, $A_{k}$ mo. To the recurrence $G$ we order a characteristic polynomial $g_{g}(x)$ as follows
(1)

$$
g_{G}(x)=x^{k}-A_{1} x^{k-1}-\ldots-A_{k-1} x-A_{k}
$$

If $\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}$ are the roots of $g_{G}(x)$ satisfying the condition that $\alpha_{i}$ m $\alpha_{j}$ for $i m j$ then we define a form $f_{g}$ of $k$ variables $X_{0}, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k-1}$ by the formula
(2)

$$
f_{g}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{k-1}\right)=(\operatorname{det} D)^{2-k} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \operatorname{det} M_{i}
$$

where


From (2) it follows that for k>2 the restriction on the roots of $g_{G}(x)$ is essential.
P.Kiss (1983) has studied the form $f_{g}$ and from it he has derived some properties of linear recurrences.

In this paper we define for arbitrary linear recurrence $G$ a form $F_{g}$ such that if the roots of $g(x)$ are different then $F_{g}=f_{g}$. Further we show that some results of $P$.Kiss remain valid in this general case. Finally me prove a connection between the factorisation of $g(x)$ and of $F_{g}$
2. Mofinition and properties of $F_{\text {g }}$.

Let $G$ be a linear recurrence of order $k$ and let.

$$
g(x)=x^{k}-A_{1} x^{k-1}-\ldots-A_{k-1} x-A_{k}, \quad A_{k} M 0,
$$

be its characteristic polynomial. Define for $1=1,2, \ldots, k$
(3) $\quad g_{l}(x)=-\sum_{m=l}^{k} A_{k-m} x^{m-1} \quad$ with $\quad A_{o}=-1$
and for the variables $X_{0}, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k-1}$
(4) $\quad z_{\alpha}=\sum_{l=1}^{k} g_{l}(\alpha) X_{l-1}$
where $\alpha$ is a root of $g(x)$.

Let $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}$ be roots of $g(x)$ Cobviously a root of multiplicity $r$ is taken $r$ times) and $X_{0}, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k-1}$ be variables. The form
(5)

$$
F_{g}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{k-1}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{k} z_{\alpha_{i}}
$$

will be called a form associated to $g(x)$.

## Lemma 1

If $g(x)$ is a polynomial having distinct roote thon

$$
\mathbf{F}_{g}=\mathbf{f}_{g} .
$$

## Proof:

Assume that the degree of $g(x)$ is $k$ and $\alpha_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq k$ are its roots. Consider the following system of equations

with $y$ 's as unknowns.
By the assumption of lemma, (6) is Cramer's system hence
(7) $\quad y_{i}=(\operatorname{det} D)^{-1} \operatorname{det}_{i}(-1)^{i-1}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, k$
where $D$ and $M_{i}$ are as in (2).
On the other hand it is easy to verify that for
$a_{i, j}=\frac{g_{j}}{\dot{g}} \cdot\left(\frac{\left(\alpha_{i}\right)}{\left(\alpha_{i}\right)}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq k\right.$ we have $D^{-1}=\left(a_{i, j}\right)$.
Therefore from (6) we obtain that
(8) $\quad y_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i, l} x_{i-1}=\frac{1}{g^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)} \sum_{i=1}^{k} g_{i}\left(\alpha_{i}\right) X_{i-1}=\frac{z^{2} \alpha_{i}}{g^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)}$.

Since

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{k} g^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=(-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \cdot(\operatorname{det} D)^{2}
$$

then from (2), (7), (8) and (3) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{g}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{k-1}\right]=(\operatorname{det} D)^{2-k} \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left[(-1)^{i-1} y_{i} \operatorname{det} D\right)= \\
& =(\operatorname{det} D)^{2}(-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{k} y_{i}=\prod_{i=1}^{k} z_{\alpha_{i}}=F_{g}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{k-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This ends the proof.

Theorem 1. Ccomp. Thm. 1 in Kiss, 1083 .
The form $F_{g}\left[x_{o}, \ldots, x_{k-1}\right]$ has rational integer coefficients and the coefficient of $X_{k-1}^{k}$ is one.

Furthermore

$$
F_{g}\left[G_{n}, G_{n+1}, \ldots, G_{n+k-1}\right]=\left[(-1)^{k-1} A_{k}\right]^{n} \cdot F_{0}
$$

for all integer $n ¿ 0$, where $F_{0}=F_{g}\left(G_{0}, G_{1}, \ldots, G_{k-1}\right)$.
Proof:
By (3) and (4) we can write

$$
\sum_{l=1}^{k} g_{l}\left(\alpha_{i}\right) x_{l-1}=\sum_{m=0}^{k-1} u_{m} \alpha_{i}^{m}
$$

where $u_{m}=u_{m}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{k-1}\right)$ are linear forms with
rational integer coefficients and then

$$
F_{g}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{k-1}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left[\sum_{m=0}^{k-1} u_{m} \alpha_{i}^{m}\right]
$$

and the coefficients of $u_{0}^{r} O \quad u_{k-1}^{r}{ }_{k-1}$ are rational as symmetrical polynomials in $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}$. Since $\alpha_{i}$ 's are algebraic integers then these coefficients and in particulary
the coefficiente of $F_{g}\left(X_{0}, \ldots, X_{k-1}\right)$ are rational integers. Moreover $g_{k}(x)=1$ hence the coefficient of $x_{k-1}^{k}$ is equal to

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{k} g_{k}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=1
$$

For the proof of second part of the theorem put $g_{0}(x)=g(x)$ and remark that

$$
g_{l}(x)=\frac{g_{l-1}(x)+A_{k-l+1}}{x} \quad \text { for } \quad 1=1,2, \ldots, k
$$

Now for $\alpha=\alpha_{j}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k$ and for any $n \geq 0$ we have

$$
\alpha \sum_{l=1}^{k} g_{l}(\alpha) G_{n+l-1}=\sum_{l=1}^{k}\left[g_{l-1}(\alpha)+A_{k-l+1}\right) G_{n+l-1}=
$$

$$
=\left[g_{o}(\alpha)+A_{k}\right] G_{n}+\sum_{i=2}^{k} g_{i-1}(\alpha) G_{n+l-1}+\sum_{i=2}^{k} A_{k-l+1} G_{n+l-1}=
$$

$$
=A_{k} G_{n}+\sum_{l=1}^{k-1} A_{k-l} G_{n+l}+\sum_{l=1}^{k-1} g_{l}(\alpha) G_{n+l}=\sum_{l=0}^{k-1} A_{k-l} G_{n+l}+
$$

$$
+\sum_{l=1}^{k-1} g_{l}(\alpha) G_{n+l}=G_{n+k}+\sum_{l=1}^{k-1} g_{l}(\alpha) G_{n+l}=\sum_{l=1}^{k} g_{l}(\alpha) G_{n+l}
$$

because $G_{n+k}=G_{n+k} g_{k}(\alpha)$.
From the above calculations we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{g}\left[G_{n+1}, \ldots, G_{n+k}\right]=\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} g_{i}\left(\alpha_{i}\right) G_{n+l}\right]= \\
=\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left[\alpha_{i} \sum_{i=1}^{k} g_{l}\left(\alpha_{i}\right) G_{n+i-1}\right]=F_{g}\left(G_{n}, \ldots, G_{n+k-1}\right] \prod_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_{i}= \\
=F_{g}\left(G_{n}, G_{n+1}, \ldots, G_{n+k-1}\right](-1)^{k-i} A_{k}
\end{gathered}
$$

and the proof easily follows by the induction.

Theorem 2. (see Thm. 2 in Kiss, 1983.)
If

$$
\xi_{i, n}=a_{0, n}+a_{1, n} \alpha_{i}+\ldots+a_{k-1, n} \alpha_{i}^{k-1}, n \geq 0
$$

## where

$$
a_{t, n}=G_{n+k-t-1}-\sum_{j=1}^{k-i-1} A_{j} G_{n+k-t-j-1}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq k-1
$$

and if

$$
u_{n}=\prod_{i=1}^{k} \xi_{i, n}
$$

then

$$
u_{n}=\left[(-1)^{k-1} A_{k}\right]^{r_{1}} u_{0}
$$

## Proof:

For $1 \leq i \leq k$ we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
z_{\alpha_{i}}=\sum_{m=1}^{k} X_{m-1} \sum_{l=m}^{k}\left(-A_{k-l} \alpha_{i}^{l-m}\right)=-\sum_{m=1}^{k} X_{m-1} \sum_{l=0}^{k-m} A_{k-l-m} \alpha_{i}^{l}= \\
=-\sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \alpha_{i}^{l} \sum_{m=1}^{k-l} A_{k-l-m} X_{m-1}=\sum_{l=0}^{k-1}\left[-A_{0} X_{k-l-1} \sum_{m=1}^{k-l-1} A_{k-l-m} X_{m-1}\right) \alpha_{i}^{l}= \\
=\sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \alpha_{i}^{l}\left(X_{k-l-1}-\sum_{m=1}^{k-l-1} A_{m} X_{k-l-m-1}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and putting $X_{r}=G_{n+r}, \quad r=0,1, \ldots, k-1$ we obtain

$$
z_{\alpha_{i}}=\sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \alpha_{i}^{l}\left[G_{n+k-l-1}-\sum_{j=1}^{k-l-1} A_{j} G_{n+k-l-j-1}\right]=\xi_{i, n}
$$

and now by definition of $F_{g}$ and by Theorem 1 we get the proof.
3. A connection between $g(x)$ and $F_{g}$.

Lemma 2. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g(x)=x^{k}-A_{1} x^{k-1}-\ldots-A_{k-1} x-A_{k}, \\
& u(x)=x^{g}-B_{1} x^{g-1}-\ldots-B_{g-1} x-B_{g}, \\
& v(x)=x^{r}-C_{1} x^{r-1}-\ldots-C_{r-1} x-C_{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

and let

$$
g(x)=u(x) \quad v(x)
$$

If $F_{g}\left[X_{0}, \ldots, X_{k-1}\right]$ is the associated form to $g(x)$ then

$$
F_{g}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{k-1}\right]=F_{u}\left[z_{o}, \ldots, z_{g-1}\right] F_{v}\left[Y_{o}, \ldots, Y_{r-1}\right]
$$

where $F_{u}$ and $F_{v}$ are forms associated to $u(x)$ and $v(x)$, respectively and

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
Z_{j}=-\sum_{t=0}^{r} C_{r-t} x_{j+i}, & j=0,1, \ldots, s-1 & \text { with } & C_{o}=-1, \\
Y_{i}=-\sum_{n=0}^{B} B_{s-n} x_{i+n}, & i=0,1, \ldots, r-1 & \text { with } & B_{0}=-1 .
\end{array}
$$

Proof: For the brevity put

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a_{l}=-A_{k-l}, & 1 \leq 1 \leq k, \\
b_{n}=-B_{s-n}, & 1 \leq n \leq s, \\
c_{m}=-C_{r-m}, & 1 \leq m \leq r
\end{array}
$$

and let $\alpha_{i}=\alpha$ be a root of $u(x)$. By (3) and (4) wo havo

$$
\begin{aligned}
z_{\alpha} & =\sum_{t=1}^{k} g_{i}(\alpha) x_{t-1}=\sum_{t=1}^{k} x_{t-1} \sum_{l=t}^{k} a_{l} \alpha^{t-t}= \\
& =\sum_{t=1}^{k} x_{t-1} \sum_{i=t}^{k} \sum_{\substack{m+n=t \\
0 \leq m \leq \\
0 \leq n \leq}} c_{m} b_{n} \alpha^{m+n-t}=
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sum_{i=1}^{k} X_{t-1} \sum_{\substack{m+n \geq 1 \\
0 \leq m \leq r \\
0 \leq n \leq a}} c_{m} b_{n} \alpha^{n-(t-m)}= \\
& =\sum_{t=1}^{k} X_{t-1} \sum_{m=0}^{r} c_{m} \sum_{\substack{n=0 \\
n \geq t-m}}^{o} b_{n} \alpha^{n-(t-m)}= \\
& =\sum_{m=0}^{r} C_{m} \sum_{i=m+1}^{k} X_{t-1} \sum_{n=t-m}^{0} b_{n} \alpha^{n-(t-m)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last equality follows from the fact that for tom we have

$$
\sum_{\substack{n=1-m \\ n \geq 0}}^{\infty} b_{n} \alpha^{r_{1}-1-m}=\alpha^{m-1} \sum_{n=0}^{0} b_{n} \alpha^{n}=\alpha^{m-t} u(\alpha)=0
$$

Now, changing the order of summation and understanding $u,<x)$ similarly as $g(x)$ in (3) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z_{\alpha}=\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} b_{n} \alpha^{n-p} \sum_{m=0}^{r} c_{m} \sum_{\substack{t=m+1 \\
t-m=p}}^{r} X_{t-1}= \\
& =\sum_{p=1}^{e} u_{p}(\alpha) \sum_{m=0}^{r} c_{m} X_{p-1+m}= \\
& =\sum_{p=1}^{\rho} u_{p}(\alpha) \sum_{m=0}^{r}\left(-C_{p-m} x_{p-1+m}\right)=\sum_{p=1}^{0} u_{p}(\alpha) Z_{p-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Analogously for $\beta$ being a root of vex) we obtain

$$
z_{\beta}=\sum_{l=1}^{r} v_{l}(\beta) Y_{l-1}
$$

Without loos of the generality we can assume that the roote of $g(x)$ are $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{g}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{r}$ and that $\alpha_{i}$ are the roots of $u(x)$ and $\beta_{j}$ of $v(x)$.

Now by the definition of $F_{g}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{g}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{k-1}\right] & =\prod_{i=1}^{\square} z_{\alpha_{i}} \prod_{j=1}^{r} z_{\beta_{j}}= \\
& =F_{u}\left[z_{o}, \ldots, z_{s-1}\right] \cdot F_{v}\left[Y_{o}, \ldots, Y_{r-1}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

what ends the proof.

## Theorem 3.

If $g(x)=g_{1}(x) \ldots g_{r}(x)$ is a decomposition of $g(x)$ on irreducible factors then

$$
\text { (9) } \quad \begin{aligned}
& F_{g}\left(x_{o}, \ldots, x_{k-1}\right)= \\
& =F_{g_{1}}\left[x_{o}^{(1)}, \ldots, x_{k_{1}-1}^{(1)}\right] \ldots F_{g_{r}}\left[x_{o}^{(r)}, \ldots, x_{k_{r}-1}^{(r)}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $x_{i}^{〔 j}$ are linear forms in $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{k-1}$ and $F_{g_{i}}$ are forms associated to $g_{i}(x)$, irreducible over the rational field and conversely if

$$
F_{q}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{k-1}\right]=F_{1}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{k-1}\right] \ldots F_{r}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{k-1}\right]
$$

is a decomposition of $F_{g}$ on irreducible factors then $g(x)$ is decomposable on $r$ irreducible factors $f_{1}(x), \ldots, f_{r}(x)$, say and $F_{g}$ has the form (9).
Proof:
By Lemma 2 it is enough to prove that if
$F_{g}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{k-1}\right]=F_{1}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{k-1}\right] \cdot F_{2}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{k-1}\right]$
with not constant $F_{1}, F_{2}$ then $g(x)$ is reducible.

Suppose that by above condition $g(x)$ is irreducible. Then $g$, $(\alpha) 0$ for any $\alpha$ being a root of $g(x)$. Put

$$
x_{j}=\sum_{r=1}^{k}\left(x-\alpha_{r}\right) \alpha_{r}^{j}, \quad j=0,1, \ldots, k-1
$$

where $\alpha_{j}$ are roots of $g(x)$. First of all we see that $X_{j}$ has a form $a_{j} x+b_{j}$ with rational $a, b$. Thus we have
(10)

$$
F_{g}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{k-1}\right]=u_{1}(x) u_{2}(x)
$$

with not constant $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$.
On the other hand we have

$$
F_{g}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{k-1}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} g_{i}\left(\alpha_{i}\right) x_{t-1}\right]
$$

But

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{t=1}^{k} g_{t}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{j}\right) \alpha_{j}^{t-1}=\left[x-\alpha_{j}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{k} g_{t}\left(\alpha_{i}\right) \alpha_{j}^{t-1}= \\
= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } i m_{j} \\
g^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)\left(x-\alpha_{i}\right) & \text { if } i=j\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

hence

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} g_{i}\left(\alpha_{i}\right) x_{i-1}=\sum_{r=1}^{k}\left[x-\alpha_{r}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{k} g_{i}\left(\alpha_{i}\right) \alpha_{r}^{t-1}=\left[x-\alpha_{r}\right] g^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)
$$

and from this it follows that

$$
F_{g}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{k-1}\right]=\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left[x-\alpha_{i}\right] g_{i}\left[\alpha_{i}\right]=g(x) A
$$

with a rational Amo what common with (10) gives a contradiction to the assumption on $g(x)$.

This contradiction completes the proof.
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