Annales Mathematicae et Informaticae 47 (2017) pp. 109-118 http://ami.uni-eszterhazy.hu

Gardener's spline curve

Imre Juhász

Department of Descriptive Geometry, University of Miskolc agtji@uni-miskolc.hu

Submitted August 24, 2017 — Accepted November 29, 2017

Abstract

In the well-known gardener's construction of the ellipse we replace the two foci by a finite set of points in the plane, that results in a G^1 spline curve that consists of elliptic arcs, if the set contains at least three non-collinear points. An algorithm is provided for the specification of these elliptic arcs, along with their control point based representation.

 $K\!eywords:$ Gardener's construction, spline curve, rational Bézier representation

MSC: 65D17, 68U07

1. Introduction

A well-known way of drawing an ellipse is as follows. Place a piece of paper on the board, stick in two pins, loop a thread around the pins, pull taut with the tip of a pen and move the pen around, always keeping the loop of thread taut. As the pen moves around the two pins it will trace out an ellipse. This makes use of the fact that an ellipse is the locus of points, whose sum of distances from two fixed points is a constant. (Certainly, the usage of a thread is not a construction in the Euclidean sense.)

Replacing the paper by the ground, the pins by pegs, the thread with a string (or a rope) and the pen with a peg (or a spade), this procedure is used by gardeners to outline an elliptical flower bed. Therefore, this method is called the gardener's construction (or the string method). It is not known when, where and by whom it has been invented but it is doubtless that this has been used by gardeners for quite a while. A generalization of the gardener's construction is attributed to Charles Graves, cf. [1], who replaced the two pins (the foci) by an ellipse and proved that the gardener's construction results in another ellipse, confocal to the original one.

In what follows, we replace the two foci with a finite set of points in the plane, in which case the gardener's construction produces a closed G^1 spline curve, which is composed of elliptic arcs. We provide an algorithm for the specification of the arcs, that enables us to draw this closed curve by means of a computer. This work was motivated by an incomplete, erroneous text (cf. [2]) found on the internet on a similar topic (its title is misleading).

2. Problem statement

If we loop a finite set of points in the plane (pins stuck in a board) with a string, pull taut with the tip of a pen and move the pen around, the string will always tighten on a part of the perimeter of the convex hull of the set. The convex hull of a finite set of points in the plane is always bounded by a closed convex polygon, the vertices of which are elements of the given set. There are several methods to compute the convex hull of a finite set of points, one can find them, e.g., in [3] or [4].

If the set contains just a single point or all the points are collinear, the convex hull degenerates to a single point or to a straight line segment, respectively. In these degenerate cases the gardener's construction produces a circle or an ellipse. We exclude these trivial cases in our further study, i.e., we assume that the set contains at least three non-collinear points. From now on, we will examine closed convex planar polygons instead of a finite set of points.

Let $\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{p}_n$ be the vertices of a closed convex planar polygon \mathcal{P} . Throughout the paper we make use of the convention

$$\mathbf{p}_i \equiv \mathbf{p}_{i \bmod n}.\tag{2.1}$$

This convention is applied for the half-lines and support lines as well, which will be introduced later. We assume that the orientation of the polygon is counterclockwise. In what follows, if we list certain entities, like foci or delimiting lines, we always do it in the counterclockwise direction. The perimeter of the polygon is denoted by L_p , i.e.,

$$L_p = \sum_{i=0}^n \|\mathbf{p}_{i+1} - \mathbf{p}_i\|.$$

Consider the distance $L = L_p + \delta$, $0 < \delta \in \mathbb{R}$.

The support lines

$$\mathbf{l}_{i}(t) = (1-t)\mathbf{p}_{i} + t\mathbf{p}_{i+1}, \ t \in \mathbb{R}, \ i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

of sides of the polygon \mathcal{P} divide the plane into 2n external parts (outside of the polygon), each part containing an arc of the closed curve. Each side \mathbf{s}_i – bounded

by vertices \mathbf{p}_i and \mathbf{p}_{i+1} – and each vertex \mathbf{p}_i has its own region that will contain an elliptic arc, that may degenerate to a single point in special circumstances. Side and vertex arcs alternately follow each other, the sequence is $\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{v}_{i+1}, (i = 1, 2, ..., n)$. Each arc is a part of an ellipse, since the construction inherently ensures that the sum of the distances of any point of the arc from two certain vertices of the polygon is constant. Certainly, these two points (the foci) and the constant (the length of the major axis) vary arc by arc.

3. Triangle

At first we consider the simplest case, the triangle. In this case we have the sequence of arcs $\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{v}_{i+1}, (i = 1, 2, 3)$. Foci of the side arc \mathbf{s}_i are $\mathbf{p}_i, \mathbf{p}_{i+1}$ and its endpoints are on support lines $\mathbf{l}_{i-1}, \mathbf{l}_{i+1}$ that we will refer to as delimiters of the arc. The vertex arc \mathbf{v}_i has the foci $\mathbf{p}_{i-1}, \mathbf{p}_{i+2}$, and its delimiters are $\mathbf{l}_i, \mathbf{l}_{i-1}$. Consecutive arcs share a focus and a delimiter, moreover, at the common point of the two arcs the tangent lines are also coinciding, cf. Fig. 1. Thus, the result is a G^1 spline curve that consists of elliptic arcs.



Figure 1: The case of the triangle: side arc \mathbf{s}_2 has the foci $\mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3$ and delimiters $\mathbf{l}_1, \mathbf{l}_3$; the consecutive vertex arc \mathbf{v}_3 has foci $\mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_1$ and delimiters $\mathbf{l}_3, \mathbf{l}_2$. The two arcs have a common tangent line at their joint.

In the case of the triangle and the parallelogram, i.e., when support lines meet only at vertices of the polygon, this simple structure always works. Otherwise, if δ is big enough, the determination of foci and delimiters of arcs may become much more complicated. Therefore, we have to construct an algorithm which can cope with any convex polygon.

4. Generic case

Our objective is to determine the defining data of consecutive elliptic arcs, i.e., the two foci, the delimiters of the arc and the length of the major axis, for any configuration of closed convex polygons. To this end, we introduce half-lines

$$\mathbf{h}_{i}(t) = (1-t)\mathbf{p}_{i} + t\mathbf{p}_{i+1}, \ 0 \le t \in \mathbb{R}, \ (1, 2, \dots, n)$$

and build an intersection matrix M of size $n \times n$. Rows of this matrix correspond to half-lines \mathbf{h}_i and its columns to support lines \mathbf{l}_j . We examine only those intersection points of half-lines and support lines which differ from the vertices of the polygon. Entry $m_{i,j}$ of matrix M equals 1 if the support line \mathbf{l}_j , (j > i + 1) intersects the half-line \mathbf{h}_i and for the intersection point \mathbf{q}_{ij} inequality

$$L_p - \sum_{k=i+1}^{j-1} \|\mathbf{p}_{k+1} - \mathbf{p}_k\| + \|\mathbf{q}_{ij} - \mathbf{p}_{i+1}\| + \|\mathbf{q}_{ij} - \mathbf{p}_j\| < L$$

holds, otherwise $m_{i,j} = 0$. Thus, the intersection matrix depends not only on the location of the vertices but on δ as well. If in the above expression we have equality, it means that the arc is degenerated to a single point, which does not need any further study. The first support line (if there is any) that intersects the half-line \mathbf{h}_i has to be \mathbf{l}_{i+2} and if there are more than one such support lines, they must be consecutive ones, since the polygon is convex. (Note, that vertices, half-lines and support lines are cyclically arranged, convention (2.1) is used.) The intersection matrix of the configuration in Fig. 2 is

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Subsequently we provide algorithms for the specification of the list of foci and delimiters of the elliptic arcs, by processing the intersection matrix.

4.1. Specification of foci

In this subsection we produce the pair of foci of consecutive elliptic arcs by processing the intersection matrix row by row. Rows and columns of the intersection matrix can be considered as cycles, that is any element has a predecessor and a subsequent element according to the convention (2.1). We always process the vertices in counterclockwise direction. If in a list or in a sum the lower limit r_{ℓ} happens to be greater than the upper limit r_u , then the sequence $r_{\ell}, r_{\ell} + 1, \ldots, n, n + 1, r_u$ is meant.

We process the intersection matrix row by row. Processing the *i*th row of the intersection matrix (i = 1, 2, ..., n) is as follows.



Figure 2: Support lines \mathbf{l}_i , half-lines \mathbf{h}_i (i = 1, 2, ..., 6) and the intersection \mathbf{q}_{ij} of halflines and support lines of a hexagon.

- 1. If $m_{i,j} = 0, (j = 1, 2, ..., n)$ then the pairs of foci are
 - 1.1. if $m_{i-1,i+1} = 0$ then insert $\mathbf{p}_i, \mathbf{p}_{i+1}$
 - 1.2. if $m_{i-1,i+2} = 0$ then insert $\mathbf{p}_i, \mathbf{p}_{i+2}$
- 2. Otherwise, find the first element k_1 of the row which equals 1 and the corresponding entry in the previous row is 0, i.e., $m_{i,k_1} = 1$ and $m_{i-1,k_1} = 0$, moreover find k_2 which is the last element of the *i*th row of this property. (The search always starts at column j = i + 1 and goes around.) If there is no column that fulfills both requirements, then set $k_1 = 0$ and find k_{ℓ} which is the last column ontaining 1 (regardless of the previous row). The pairs of foci are as follows.
 - 2.1. If $k_1 > 0$ then
 - 2.1.1. if the previous row is the zero vector, i.e., $m_{i-1,j} = 0$, (i = 1, 2, ..., n) then insert $\mathbf{p}_i, \mathbf{p}_{k_1-1}$
 - 2.1.2. insert $\mathbf{p}_i, \mathbf{p}_{k_1}; \mathbf{p}_i, \mathbf{p}_{k_1+1}; \dots, \mathbf{p}_i, \mathbf{p}_{k_2+1}$

2.2. else insert $\mathbf{p}_i, \mathbf{p}_{k_\ell+1}$

The pairs of foci of the configuration in Fig. 2 are

4.2. Specification of delimiters

We specify the delimiters of consecutive elliptic arcs based on the intersection matrix. Consecutive pairs of the delimiters share an element, namely the second element of the current pair is the first element of the next one.

Processing of the *i*th row of the intersection matrix is as follows.

- 1. If $m_{i,j} = 0, (j = 1, 2, ..., n)$, i.e., the row is the zero vector, then
 - 1.1. if $m_{i-1,i+1} = 0$ then insert $\mathbf{l}_{i-1}, \mathbf{l}_{i+1}; \mathbf{l}_{i+1}, \mathbf{l}_i$
 - 1.2. else insert $\mathbf{l}_{i-1}, \mathbf{l}_i$
- 2. Otherwise find the first element k_1 of the row which equals 1 and the corresponding entry in the previous row is 0, i.e., $m_{i,k_1} = 1$ and $m_{i-1,k_1} = 0$, moreover find k_2 which is the last column of the *i*th row of this property. (The search always starts at column j = i + 1 and goes round.) If there is no column that fulfills both requirements, then set $k_1 = 0$. Pairs of delimiters are:
- 3. If $k_1 > 0$ then
 - 3.1. if the previous row is the zero vector, then insert \mathbf{l}_{i-1} , \mathbf{l}_{k_1-1} ; \mathbf{l}_{k_1-1} , \mathbf{l}_{k_1} ; \mathbf{l}_{k_1+1} ; \mathbf{l}_{k_1+1} ; \mathbf{l}_{k_1+2} ; ...; \mathbf{l}_{k_2-1} , \mathbf{l}_{k_2}
 - 3.2. else insert $\mathbf{l}_{i-1}, \mathbf{l}_{k_1}; \quad \mathbf{l}_{k_1}, \mathbf{l}_{k_1+1}; \mathbf{l}_{k_1+1}, \mathbf{l}_{k_1+2}; \dots; \mathbf{l}_{k_2-1}, \mathbf{l}_{k_2}$
 - 3.3. insert $\mathbf{l}_{k_2}, \mathbf{l}_i$
- 4. else insert $\mathbf{l}_{i-1}, \mathbf{l}_i$

The delimiters of elliptic arcs of the configuration in Fig. 2 are

4.3. The length of the major axis

The length of the major axis of the ellipse defined by foci $\mathbf{p}_i, \mathbf{p}_j$ (the order does matter) is

$$\delta + \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} \|\mathbf{p}_{k+1} - \mathbf{p}_k\|.$$

5. Control point based representation

The best way for the description of elliptic arcs seems to be the quadratic rational Bézier form

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2} \mathbf{b}_{i} \frac{w_{i} B_{i}^{2}(t)}{\sum_{j=0}^{2} w_{j} B_{j}^{2}(t)}, t \in [0, 1],$$
(5.1)

where $B_i^2(t)$, (i = 0, 1, 2) denote quadratic Bernstein polynomials, and w_i are nonnegative weights, such that $w_0 + w_1 + w_2 > 0$. The three control points can easily be computed, since we know the two endpoints and the tangent lines there. If we use the standard form for the specification of the weights ($w_0 = w_2 = 1$) just the weight of the middle control point, i.e., w_1 has to be computed which is also a routine exercise.

An alternative representation could be the trigonometric one (cf. [5])

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2} A_{2,i}^{\alpha}(t) \mathbf{b}_{i}, \ t \in [0, \alpha],$$
(5.2)

where

$$\begin{split} A_{2,0}^{\alpha}\left(t\right) &= \frac{1}{\sin^{2}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)} \sin^{2}\left(\frac{\alpha-t}{2}\right),\\ A_{2,1}^{\alpha}\left(t\right) &= \frac{2\cos\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}{\sin^{2}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)} \sin\left(\frac{\alpha-t}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right),\\ A_{2,2}^{\alpha}\left(t\right) &= \frac{\sin^{2}\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)}{\sin^{2}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}. \end{split}$$

Its control points \mathbf{b}_i . (i = 0, 1, 2) coincide with that of (5.1), only shape parameter α has to be calculated. Actually, (5.2) is just a reparametrization of (5.1). We prefer the rational Bézier representation. Fig. 3 shows the rational Bézier representation of the gardener's spline curve for a quadrilateral.

If we use the standard form of weights for all elliptic arcs \mathbf{e}_i , (i = 1, 2, ..., 2n) then we obtain a G^1 description of the gardener's spline curve. In what follows we show a method for the C^1 description of the curve that will be achieved by the transformation of weights.

Let us consider two consecutive arcs

$$\mathbf{e}_{i}(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{2} \mathbf{b}_{i,j} \frac{w_{i,j} B_{j}^{2}(t)}{\sum_{k=0}^{2} w_{i,k} B_{k}^{2}(t)}, t \in [0,1]$$

and

$$\mathbf{e}_{i+1}(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{2} \mathbf{b}_{i+1,j} \frac{w_{i+1,j} B_{j}^{2}(t)}{\sum_{k=0}^{2} w_{i+1,k} B_{k}^{2}(t)}, t \in [0,1].$$



Figure 3: The gardener's spline curve of a quadrilateral, along with the control polygon of each quadratic Bézier curve that describe the elliptic arcs, from which the curve is composed of

For C^1 continuity conditions

$$\mathbf{e}_{i}(t)\big|_{t=1} = \mathbf{e}_{i+1}(t)\big|_{t=0},$$
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\,t}\mathbf{e}_{i}(t)\Big|_{t=1} = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\,t}\mathbf{e}_{i+1}(t)\Big|_{t=0}$$

have to be fulfilled. The first equality is guaranteed by the construction, only the second condition needs further study. Since

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \mathbf{e}_{i}(t) \bigg|_{t=1} = 2 \frac{w_{i,1}}{w_{i,2}} \left(\mathbf{b}_{i,2} - \mathbf{b}_{i,1} \right),$$
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \mathbf{e}_{i+1}(t) \bigg|_{t=0} = 2 \frac{w_{i+1,1}}{w_{i+1,0}} \left(\mathbf{b}_{i+1,1} - \mathbf{b}_{i+1,0} \right)$$

the equality

$$\frac{w_{i,1}}{w_{i,2}} \left(\mathbf{b}_{i,2} - \mathbf{b}_{i,1} \right) = \frac{w_{i+1,1}}{w_{i+1,0}} \left(\mathbf{b}_{i+1,1} - \mathbf{b}_{i+1,0} \right)$$
(5.3)

has to be fulfilled for i = 1, 2, ..., 2n. If we have the standard form of weights, $w_{i,2} = w_{i+1,0} = 1$, (i = 1, 2, ..., 2n) this equality will not hold in general.

We will apply a suitable projective transformation of these standard weights (cf. [6]), which is equivalent to a linear fractional transformation of the parameter. Thus we perform substitutions

$$w_{i,0} \rightarrow \alpha_i^2, w_{i,1} \rightarrow \alpha_i w_{i,1}, w_{i,2} \rightarrow 1,$$

where α_i , (i = 1, 2, ..., 2n) are positive real values to be determined. Applying these substitutions in Eq. (5.3), we obtain equalities

$$\alpha_{i}w_{i,1}\left(\mathbf{b}_{i,2}-\mathbf{b}_{i,1}\right) = \frac{w_{i+1,1}}{\alpha_{i+1}}\left(\mathbf{b}_{i+1,1}-\mathbf{b}_{i+1,0}\right), \ (i=1,2,\ldots,2n)$$

which results in the system of equations

$$\alpha_i \alpha_{i+1} = \beta_{i,i+1}, \ (i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n)$$
(5.4)

for the unknowns α_i , (i = 1, 2, ..., 2n), where the positive constants $\beta_{i,i+1}$ are of the form

$$\beta_{i,i+1} = \frac{w_{i+1,1} \| \mathbf{b}_{i+1,1} - \mathbf{b}_{i+1,0} \|}{w_{i,1} \| \mathbf{b}_{i,2} - \mathbf{b}_{i,1} \|}, \ (i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n).$$

The solution is

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{2} &= \frac{1}{\alpha_{1}} \beta_{1,2}, \\ \alpha_{3} &= \alpha_{1} \frac{\beta_{2,3}}{\beta_{1,2}}, \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{2n} &= \frac{1}{\alpha_{1}} \frac{\beta_{1,2}\beta_{3,4} \cdots \beta_{2n-1,2n}}{\beta_{2,3}\beta_{4,5} \cdots \beta_{2n-2,2n-1}}, \\ \alpha_{1} &= \alpha_{1} \frac{\beta_{2,3}\beta_{4,5} \cdots \beta_{2n-2,2n-1}\beta_{2n,1}}{\beta_{1,2}\beta_{3,4} \cdots \beta_{2n-1,2n}}, \end{aligned}$$

that is for the closed curve we have a solution if

$$\frac{\beta_{2,3}\beta_{4,5}\cdots\beta_{2n-2,2n-1}\beta_{2n,1}}{\beta_{1,2}\beta_{3,4}\cdots\beta_{2n-1,2n}} = 1$$

which is a very serious restriction. However, if we do not require C^1 joint of arcs \mathbf{e}_1 and \mathbf{e}_{2n} , i.e., if we discard equation

$$\alpha_{2n}\alpha_1 = \beta_{2n,1},$$

we always have solutions, where α_1 is a free parameter.

References

- [1] GLAESER, G., STACHEL, H., ODEHNAL, B., The Universe of Conics: From the ancient Greeks to 21st century developments, Springer, 2016.
- [2] KHILJI, M. J., THATIPUR, D. G., Multi foci closed curves, Journal of Theoretics 6.
- [3] O'ROURKE, J., Computational Geometry in C, 2nd Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [4] DE BERG, M., CHEONG, O., VAN KREVELD, M., OVERMARS, M., Computational Geometry: Algorithms and Applications, 3rd Edition, Springer, 2008.
- [5] SÁNCHEZ-REYES, J., Harmonic rational Bézier curves, p-Bézier curves and trigonometric polynomials, Computer Aided Geometric Design, Vol. 15(1998) (9), 909–923.
- [6] PATTERSON, R. R., Projective transformations of the parameter of a Bernstein-Bézier curve, ACM Transactions on Graphics, Vol. 4(1985) (4), 276–290.