

# Statistics in words and partitions of a set

Walaa Asakly

Department of Mathematics, University of Haifa, Haifa, Israel  
[walaa\\_asakly@hotmail.com](mailto:walaa_asakly@hotmail.com)

*Submitted December 30, 2015 — Accepted September 12, 2016*

## Abstract

Let  $[k] = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  be an alphabet over  $k$  letters. A word  $\omega$  of length  $n$  over alphabet  $[k]$  is an element of  $[k]^n$  and is also called a  $k$ -ary word of length  $n$ . We say that  $\omega$  contains an  $\ell$ -peak, if it exists an  $i$  such that  $2 \leq i \leq n - \ell$  where  $\omega_i = \omega_{i+1} = \dots = \omega_{i+\ell-1}$  and  $\omega_{i-1} < \omega_i$  and  $\omega_{i+\ell-1} > \omega_{i+\ell}$ . A partition  $\Pi$  of set  $[n]$  of size  $k$  is a collection  $\{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_k\}$  of non empty disjoint subsets of  $[n]$ , called *blocks*, whose union equals  $[n]$ . In this paper, we find an explicit formula for the generating function for the number of words of length  $n$  over alphabet  $[k]$  according to the number of  $\ell$ -peaks in terms of Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind. As a consequence of the results obtained for words, we finally find the number of  $\ell$ -peaks in set partitions of  $[n]$  with exactly  $k$  blocks.

*Keywords:* Set partitions, words,  $\ell$ -peak, Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind

*MSC:* 05A05

## 1. Introduction

### Words

Let  $[k] = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  be an alphabet over  $k$  letters. A word  $\omega$  of length  $n$  over alphabet  $[k]$  is an element of  $[k]^n$  and is also called a word of length  $n$  on  $k$  letters or a  $k$ -ary word of length  $n$ . The number of the words of length  $n$  over alphabet  $[k]$  is  $k^n$ . Similar statistics in patterns of subwords have been widely studied in the literature (see [2]). For example, Kitaev, Mansour and Remmel [3] enumerated the number of rises (respectively, levels and falls) which are subword patterns 12,

(respectively, 11 and 21) in words that have a prescribe first element. Heubach and Mansour [2] enumerated the number of words of length  $n$  over alphabet  $[k]$  that contain the subword pattern 111 and the subword pattern 112 exactly  $r$  times. Burstein and Mansour [1] generalized the result to subword pattern of length  $\ell$ . More recently, Mansour [4] enumerated the number of peaks (subword patterns 121, 132 or 231) and valleys (subword patterns 212, 213 or 312) in words of length  $n$  over alphabet  $[k]$ . Our aim is to extend this result to patterns of arbitrary length. We say that  $\omega$  contains an  $\ell$ -peak, if exists  $2 \leq i \leq n - \ell$  such that  $\omega_i = \omega_{i+1} = \dots = \omega_{i+\ell-1}$  and  $\omega_{i-1} < \omega_i$  and  $\omega_{i+\ell-1} > \omega_{i+\ell}$ . For example, the word  $12^4 13^4 2 = 12222133332$  in [3]<sup>11</sup> contains two 4-peaks, namely 122221 and 133332.

## Set partitions

A *partition*  $\Pi$  of set  $[n]$  with exactly  $k$  blocks is a collection  $\{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_k\}$  of non empty disjoint subsets of  $[n]$  whose union is equal to  $[n]$ . We assume that blocks are listed in increasing order of their minimal elements, that is,  $\min B_1 < \min B_2 < \dots < \min B_k$ . We denote the set of all partitions of  $[n]$  with exactly  $k$  blocks to be  $P_{n,k}$ . The number of all partitions of  $[n]$  with  $k$  blocks is  $S(n, k)$ , these are the Stirling numbers of the second kind [9]. We denote the set of all partitions of  $[n]$  to be  $P_n$ , namely  $P_n = \cup_{k=0}^n P_{n,k}$ . The number of all partitions of  $[n]$  is  $B_n = \sum_{k=0}^n S_{n,k}$ , which is the  $n$ -th Bell number. Any partition  $\Pi$  can be written as  $\pi_1 \pi_2 \dots \pi_n$ , where  $i \in B_{\pi_i}$  for all  $i$ , and this form is called the *canonical sequential form*. For example  $\Pi = \{\{12\}, \{3\}, \{4\}\}$  is a partition of  $[4]$ , the canonical sequential form is  $\pi = 1123$ . Several authors have studied different statistics on  $P_n$  (see [4]). For instance, Mansour and Munagi [6] found the generating function for the number of partitions of  $[n]$  according to rises, descents and levels, they also computed the total number of  $t$ -rises (respectively,  $t$ -descents and  $t$ -levels), this is a increasing subword pattern of size  $t$  (respectively, decreasing subword pattern of size  $t$ , fixed subword pattern of size  $t$ ), see [5]. A lot of attention has been given to the statistics on  $P_{n,k}$  (see [4]). For example, Shattuck [8] counted the rises, descents and levels in the set partition of  $[n]$  with exactly  $k$  blocks. In addition, Mansour [4] found an explicit formula for the generating functions for the number of set partition of  $[n]$  with exactly  $k$  blocks according to the statistics  $\ell$ -rise (respectively,  $\ell$ -descent and  $\ell$ -level). Mansour and Shattuck [7] found an explicit formula for the generating function of set partitions of  $n$  with exactly  $[k]$  blocks according to the number of peaks (valleys). Our aim is to extend this result for the set  $P_{n,k}$  according to the number of  $\ell$ -peaks.

In this paper, we find the generating function of the words of length  $n$  over alphabet  $[k]$  according to the number of  $\ell$ -peaks. We also compute the total number of  $\ell$ -peaks in the words of length  $n$  over alphabet  $[k]$ . As a consequence of these results, we find the number of  $\ell$ -peaks in set partitions of  $[n]$  with exactly  $k$  blocks.

## 2. Words and partitions of a set according to multi statistics $\ell$ -peaks

Let  $W_k(x, q_1, \dots, q_\ell)$  be the generating function for the number of words of length  $n$  over alphabet  $[k]$  according to the number of  $\ell$ -peaks, namely,

$$W_k(x, q_1, \dots, q_\ell) = \sum_{n \geq 0} x^n \sum_{\omega \in [k]^n} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} q_i^{i-\text{peak}(\omega)}.$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *The generating function  $W_k(x, q_1, \dots, q_\ell)$  satisfies the recurrence relation*

$$\begin{aligned} & W_k(x, q_1, \dots, q_\ell) \\ &= \frac{A_\ell - xB_\ell + W_{k-1}(x, q_1, \dots, q_\ell)(B_{\ell+1} - A_\ell)}{(1-x)(1+A_\ell) + x^{\ell+1} - W_{k-1}(x, q_1, \dots, q_\ell)((1-x)A_\ell + x^{\ell+1})}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $A_\ell = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} x^i q_i$  and  $B_\ell = \frac{1-x^\ell}{1-x}$ .

*Proof.* It is obvious

$$W_k(x, q_1, \dots, q_\ell) = W_{k-1}(x, q_1, \dots, q_\ell) + W_k^\dagger(x, q_1, \dots, q_\ell), \quad (2.1)$$

where  $W_k^\dagger(x, q_1, \dots, q_\ell)$  is the generating function for the number of words  $\omega$  of length  $n$  over alphabet  $[k]$  according to the number of  $\ell$ -peaks such that  $\omega$  contains at least one occurrence of the letter  $k$ . A word  $\omega$  that contains a letter  $k$  can be decomposed as either

- (1)  $k$ ;
- (2)  $k\omega'$ , where  $\omega'$  is a non empty word over  $[k]$ ;
- (3)  $\omega''k^i\omega'''$ , where  $k^i$  denotes a word  $kk \cdots k$  with exactly  $i$  letters,  $\omega''$  is a non empty word over  $[k-1]$  and  $\omega'''$  is a non empty word over  $[k]$  which starts with a letter  $a \neq k$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ ;
- (4)  $\omega''k^i$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ ; or
- (5)  $\omega''k^{\ell+1}\omega''''$ , where  $\omega''''$  is a word over  $[k]$ .

The corresponding generating functions of these decomposition are

- (1)  $x$ ;
- (2)  $x(W_k(x, q_1, \dots, q_\ell) - 1)$ ;
- (3)  $q_i x^i (W_{k-1}(x, q_1, \dots, q_\ell) - 1)(W_k(x, q_1, \dots, q_\ell)(1-x) - 1)$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ ;
- (4)  $x^i (W_{k-1}(x, q_1, \dots, q_\ell) - 1)$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ ; or

$$(5) \quad x^{\ell+1}(W_{k-1}(x, q_1, \dots, q_\ell) - 1)W_k(x, q_1, \dots, q_\ell),$$

respectively. Hence, by (2.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & W_k(x, q_1, \dots, q_\ell) \\ &= W_{k-1}(x, q_1, \dots, q_\ell) + x + x(W_k(x, q_1, \dots, q_\ell) - 1) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} q_i x^i (W_{k-1}(x, q_1, \dots, q_\ell) - 1)(W_k(x, q_1, \dots, q_\ell)(1-x) - 1) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} x^i (W_{k-1}(x, q_1, \dots, q_\ell) - 1) \\ &\quad + x^{\ell+1}(W_{k-1}(x, q_1, \dots, q_\ell) - 1)W_k(x, q_1, \dots, q_\ell), \end{aligned}$$

which equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & W_k(x, q_1, \dots, q_\ell) \\ &= \frac{A_\ell - xB_\ell + W_{k-1}(x, q_1, \dots, q_\ell)(B_{\ell+1} - A_\ell)}{(1-x)(1+A_\ell) + x^{\ell+1} - W_{k-1}(x, q_1, \dots, q_\ell)\left((1-x)A_\ell + x^{\ell+1}\right)}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where  $A_\ell = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} x^i q_i$  and  $B_\ell = \frac{1-x^\ell}{1-x}$ .  $\square$

We plan to find an explicit formula for the generating function  $P_k(x, q_1, \dots, q_\ell)$  for the number of partitions of  $n$  with exactly  $k$  blocks according to the number of  $\ell$ -peaks.

$$P_k(x, q_1, \dots, q_\ell) = \sum_{n \geq 0} x^n \sum_{\pi \in P_{n,k}} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} q^{i-\text{peak}(\pi)}.$$

To do that we will use Lemma 2.1.

**Theorem 2.2.** *For all  $k \geq 1$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} & P_k(x, q_1, \dots, q_\ell) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^k \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} x^i (q_i (W_j(x, q_1, \dots, q_\ell)(1-x) - 1) + 1) + x^{\ell+1} W_j(x, q_1, \dots, q_\ell) \right). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Any partition  $\pi$  of  $[n]$  with exactly  $k$  blocks can be decomposed either

- (1)  $\pi k^i \pi'$ ,  $\pi k^i$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ , where  $\pi$  is a set partition with exactly  $k-1$  blocks,  $\pi'$  is a non empty word over alphabet  $[k]$  which starts with a letter  $a < k$ ; or
- (2)  $\pi k^{\ell+1} \pi''$ , where  $\pi''$  is a word over alphabet  $[k]$ .

The corresponding generating functions are

(1)

$$q_i x^i P_{k-1}(x, q_1, \dots, q_\ell) (W_k(x, q_1, \dots, q_\ell) - x W_k(x, q_1, \dots, q_\ell) - 1) + x^i P_{k-1}(x, q_1, \dots, q_\ell),$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ ;

(2)  $x^{\ell+1} P_{k-1}(x, q_1, \dots, q_\ell) W_k(x, q_1, \dots, q_\ell)$ ,

respectively. By summing all the last terms we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & P_k(x, q_1, \dots, q_\ell) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} q_i x^i P_{k-1}(x, q_1, \dots, q_\ell) (W_k(x, q_1, \dots, q_\ell) - x W_k(x, q_1, \dots, q_\ell) - 1) \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} x^i P_{k-1}(x, q_1, \dots, q_\ell) + x^{\ell+1} P_{k-1}(x, q_1, \dots, q_\ell) W_k(x, q_1, \dots, q_\ell) \\ &= P_{k-1}(x, q_1, \dots, q_\ell) \cdot \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} x^i (q_i (W_j(x, q_1, \dots, q_\ell) (1-x) - 1) + 1) + x^{\ell+1} W_j(x, q_1, \dots, q_\ell) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by induction on  $k$  together with the initial condition  $P_0(x, q) = 1$ , we complete the proof.  $\square$

**Example 2.3.** Using the recursion given in Theorem 2.2, we may obtain the generating function for the number of partitions of  $[n]$  with exactly  $k$  blocks,

$$\begin{aligned} P_k(x, 1, \dots, 1) &= \prod_{j=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} x^i W_j(x, 1, \dots, 1) (1-x) + x^{\ell+1} W_j(x, 1, \dots, 1) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^k \left( x \frac{1-x^\ell}{1-x} \frac{1}{1-jx} (1-x) + x^{\ell+1} \frac{1}{1-jx} \right) \\ &= x^k \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{1-jx}, \end{aligned}$$

which is in accord with the well-known the generating function for the number of partitions of  $[n]$  with exactly  $k$  blocks.

**Example 2.4.** By substituting  $\ell = 1$  and  $q_1 = q$  in Lemma 2.1, we get  $W_k(x, q)$  the generating function for the number of words of length  $n$  over the alphabet  $[k]$  according to the number of peaks (peak of length one), which gives the following recursion

$$W_k(x, q) = \frac{x(q-1) + (1-x(q-1))W_{k-1}(x, q)}{1-x(1-q)(1-x) - x(x+q(1-x))W_{k-1}(x, q)}.$$

By using the same substitution in Theorem 2.2, we obtain the recurrence relation for the generating function for the number of set partitions  $P_{n,k}$  according to the number of peaks (peak of length one), which gives the following recursion

$$P_k(x, q) = x^k \prod_{j=1}^k (1 + x(1 - q)W_j(x, q) + q(W_j(x, q) - 1)),$$

where the two above results agree with the results of Mansour and Shattuck (see [7]).

## 2.1. Counting $\ell$ -peaks in words and partitions of a set

Let  $W_k(x, q)$  be the generating function for the number of words of length  $n$  over alphabet  $[k]$  according to the number of  $\ell$ -peaks.

$$W_k(x, q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} x^n \left( \sum_{\omega \in [k]^n} q^{\ell\text{-peak}(\omega)} \right).$$

**Corollary 2.5.** *The generating function  $W_k(x, q)$  for the number of words of length  $n$  over alphabet  $[k]$  according to the number of  $\ell$ -peaks is*

$$W_k(x, q) = \frac{A + (1 - A)W_{k-1}(x, q)}{a_\ell - xW_{k-1}(x, q)a_{\ell-1}} \quad (2.3)$$

where  $A = x^\ell(q - 1)$  and  $a_\ell = 1 + x^\ell(q - 1)(1 - x)$ , which is equivalent to

$$W_k(x, q) = \frac{x^\ell(q - 1)(U_{k-1}(t) - U_{k-2}(t))}{U_k(t) - U_{k-1}(t) - (1 - x^\ell(q - 1))(U_{k-1}(t) - U_{k-2}(t))}, \quad (2.4)$$

where  $t = 1 + \frac{x^{\ell+1}}{2}(1 - q)$  and  $U_m$  is the  $m$ -th Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind.

*Proof.* By substituting  $q_i = 1$  for  $i \neq \ell$ , and  $q_\ell = q$  in (2.2) we obtain (2.3). Then, by applying [Appendix D] [4] for (2.2), we obtain (2.4).  $\square$

Now, our aim is to find the total number of  $\ell$ -peaks in all words of length  $n$  over alphabet  $[k]$ .

**Lemma 2.6.** *For all  $k \geq 1$ ,*

$$\frac{d}{dq} W_k(x, q) \Big|_{q=1} = \frac{x^{\ell+2}}{(1 - kx)^2} \left( 2 \binom{k}{3} + \binom{k}{2} \right).$$

*Proof.* We compute the number of  $\ell$ -peaks in all the words of length  $n$  over alphabet  $[k]$ . By differentiating (2.3) with respect to  $q$ , we obtain

$$V_k(x) = \frac{d}{dq} W_k(x, q) \Big|_{q=1}$$

$$= \frac{(x^\ell(1 - W_{k-1}(x, 1)) + V_{k-1}(x))(1 - xW_{k-1}(x, 1))}{(1 - xW_{k-1}(x, 1))^2} - \frac{W_{k-1}(x, 1)(x^\ell(1 - x)(1 - W_{k-1}(x, 1)) - xV_{k-1}(x))}{(1 - xW_{k-1}(x, 1))^2},$$

and using  $W_k(x, 1) = \frac{1}{1-kx}$  (easy to prove by induction), we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dq}W_k(x, q) \Big|_{q=1} = \frac{x^{\ell+2}}{(1 - kx)^2} \left( 2\binom{k}{3} + \binom{k}{2} \right), \quad (2.5)$$

as claimed. □

By finding the coefficient of  $x^n$  in (2.5) we get the following result

**Corollary 2.7.** *The total number of  $\ell$ -peaks in all the words of length  $n$  over alphabet  $[k]$  is given by*

$$(n - 1 - \ell)k^{n-2-\ell} \left( 2\binom{k}{3} + \binom{k}{2} \right).$$

We plan to find the explicit formula for the generating function  $P_k(x, q)$  for the number of  $P_{n,k}$  according to the number of  $\ell$ -peaks.

$$P_k(x, q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} x^n \left( \sum_{\pi \in P_{n,k}} q^{\ell\text{-peak}(\pi)} \right).$$

**Corollary 2.8.** *For all  $k \geq 1$ , the generating function  $P_k(x, q)$  is given by*

$$x^k \prod_{j=1}^k (W_j(x, q)(1 + x^{\ell-1}(x - 1)) + x^{\ell-1} + qx^{\ell-1}(W_j(x, q)(1 - x) - 1)).$$

*Proof.* By substituting  $q_i = 1$  for  $i \neq \ell$ , and  $q_\ell = q$  in Theorem (2.2). □

**Lemma 2.9.** *For all  $k \geq 3$ ,*

$$\frac{d}{dq}P_k(x, q) \Big|_{q=1} = \frac{x^{k+\ell}\binom{k}{2}}{(1 - x) \cdots (1 - kx)} + \frac{x^{k+\ell+2}}{(1 - x) \cdots (1 - kx)} \sum_{j=3}^k \frac{2\binom{j}{3} + \binom{j}{2}}{(1 - jx)}.$$

*Proof.* By Corollary 2.8, we have

$$\frac{d}{dq}P_k(x, q) \Big|_{q=1} = P_k(x, 1) \sum_{j=1}^k \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \left( \frac{\frac{d}{dq}L_j(q)}{L_j(q)} \right), \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$L_j(q) = (W_j(x, q)(1 + x^{\ell-1}(x - 1)) + x^{\ell-1} + qx^{\ell-1}(W_j(x, q)(1 - x) - 1).$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \frac{d}{dq} L_j(q) &= \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \left( \frac{d}{dq} W_j(x, q) + x^{\ell-1} (W_j(x, q)(1-x) - 1) \right) \\ &= \frac{x^\ell ((j-1) - (j-1)jx + (2\binom{j}{3} + \binom{j}{2})x^2)}{(1-jx)^2} = x^\ell \left( \frac{j-1}{1-jx} + \frac{(2\binom{j}{3} + \binom{j}{2})x^2}{(1-jx)^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by using (2.6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dq} P_k(x, q) \Big|_{q=1} &= \frac{x^{k+\ell}}{(1-x) \cdots (1-kx)} \sum_{j=1}^k \left( j-1 + \frac{(2\binom{j}{3} + \binom{j}{2})x^2}{(1-jx)} \right) \\ &= \frac{x^{k+\ell} \binom{k}{2}}{(1-x) \cdots (1-kx)} + \frac{x^{k+\ell+2}}{(1-x) \cdots (1-kx)} \sum_{j=3}^k \frac{2\binom{j}{3} + \binom{j}{2}}{(1-jx)}, \end{aligned}$$

as required.  $\square$

By using the facts that  $P_k(x, 1) = \sum_{n \geq 1} S_{n,k} x^n$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^k (j-1)x^\ell = x^\ell \binom{k}{2}$ , together with Lemma 2.9 we get the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.10.** *The total number of the  $\ell$ -peaks in all set partitions  $P_{n,k}$  is given by*

$$\binom{k}{2} S_{n-\ell, k} + \sum_{i=\ell+2}^{n-k} S_{n-i, k} \sum_{j=3}^k j^{i-\ell-2} \left( 2\binom{j}{3} + \binom{j}{2} \right).$$

## 2.2. Applications

By substituting  $\ell = 2$  in Corollary 2.7, we obtain the following result

**Corollary 2.11.** *The total number of the 2-peaks in all the words of length  $n$  over alphabet  $[k]$  is given by*

$$(n-3)k^{n-4} \left( 2\binom{k}{3} + \binom{k}{2} \right).$$

By substituting  $\ell = 2$  in Corollary 2.10, this leads to

**Corollary 2.12.** *The total number of the 2-peaks in all set partitions  $P_{n,k}$  is given by*

$$\binom{k}{2} S_{n-2, k} + \sum_{i=4}^{n-k} S_{n-i, k} \sum_{j=3}^k j^{i-4} \left( 2\binom{j}{3} + \binom{j}{2} \right).$$

By substituting  $q = 0$  in (2.4), we obtain that the generating function for the number of words of length  $n$  over alphabet  $[k]$  without  $\ell$ -peaks is given by

$$W_k(x, 0) = \frac{-x^\ell (U_{k-1}(t) - U_{k-2}(t))}{U_k(t) - U_{k-1}(t) - (1+x^\ell)(U_{k-1}(t) - U_{k-2}(t))}, \quad (2.7)$$



where  $t = 1 + \frac{x^{\ell+1}}{2}$  and  $U_m$  is  $m$ -th Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind. By substituting  $q = 0$  in Corollary 2.8, we get

$$P_k(x, 0) = x^k \prod_{j=1}^k (W_j(x, 0)(1 + x^{\ell-1}(x - 1)) + x^{\ell-1}), \quad (2.8)$$

by substituting (2.7) into (2.8), and using the relation  $U_{j+1}(t) = 2tU_j(t) - U_{j-1}(t)$ , we get

$$P_k(x, 0) = x^k \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{U_{j-1}(t) - (1 + x^\ell)U_{j-2}(t)}{(1 - x)U_{j-1}(t) - U_{j-2}(t)},$$

where  $t = 1 + \frac{x^{\ell+1}}{2}$ , which is the generating function of  $P_{n,k}$  without  $\ell$ -peaks. By using the above result with  $\ell = 1$ , we obtain the same result of Mansour and Shattuck (see [7]).

**Corollary 2.13.** *The generating function for the number of set partitions of  $P_n$  without  $\ell$ -peaks is given by*

$$1 + \sum_{k \geq 1} P_k(x, 0) = \sum_{k \geq 0} x^k \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{U_{j-1}(t) - (1 + x^\ell)U_{j-2}(t)}{(1 - x)U_{j-1}(t) - U_{j-2}(t)},$$

where  $t = 1 + \frac{x^{\ell+1}}{2}$  and  $U_m$  is the  $m$ -th Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind.

### 2.3. Conclusion

In the present paper, we determined the generating function for the number of  $k$ -ary words of length  $n$  according to the number of  $\ell$ -peaks. Also, we determined the generating function for the number of set partitions of  $[n]$  with exactly  $k$  blocks according to the number of  $\ell$ -peaks. Seems our techniques can be extended to the case of compositions of  $n$  (a composition of  $n$  is a word  $\sigma_1\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_m$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i = n$ ), where we leave it to the interest reader.

**Acknowledgment.** The author expresses her appreciation to the referee for his/her careful reading of the manuscript.

### References

- [1] BURSTEIN, A., MANSOUR, T., Counting occurrences of some subword patterns, *Discret Math. Theor. Comput. Sci.* 6(1) (2003) 1–11.
- [2] HEUBACH S., MANSOUR, T., *Combinatorics of compositions and words* (Boca Raton), CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2010.
- [3] KITAVE, S., MANSOUR, T., REMMEL, J.B., Counting descents, rises, and levels, with prescribe first element, in words, *Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci.* 10(3) (2008) 1–22.

- [4] MANSOUR, T., *Combinatorics of set partitions* (Boca Raton), CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2013.
- [5] MANSOUR, T., MUNAGI, A.O., Enumeration of partitions by long rises, levels, and descents, *J. Integer Seq.* 12 (2009) Art. 9.1.8.
- [6] MANSOUR, T., MUNAGI, A.O., Enumeration of partitions by rises, levels, and descents, in *Permutation Patterns: London Mathematical Society, Lect. Note Ser. 376*, Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- [7] MANSOUR, T., SHATTUCK, M., Counting peaks and valleys in a partition of a set, *J. Integer Seq.* 13 (2010) Art. 10.6.8.
- [8] SHATTUCK, M., Recounting the number of rises, levels and descents in finite set partitions, *Integers* 10:2 (2010) 179–185.
- [9] STANLEY, R.P., *Enumerative Combinatorics, Vol. 1*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1996.