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#### Abstract

We shall give an elementary proof for Lemma 2.4 and correct some errors in Table 1 of the author's paper of the title. Moreover, we shall extend this table up to integers below $2^{32}$. Keywords: Odd perfect numbers, infinitary superperfect numbers, unitary divisors, infinitary divisors, the sum of divisors


MSC: 11A05, 11A25
In p. 215, Lemma 2.4 of the author's paper "Infinitary superperfect numbers", this journal 47 (2017), 211-218, it is stated that, if $p^{2}+1=2 q^{m}$ with $m \geq 2$, then a) $m$ must be a power of 2 and, b) for any given prime $q$, there exists at most one such $m$. Here the author owed the former to an old result of Størmer [5] that the equation $x^{2}+1=2 y^{m}$ with $m$ odd has only one positive integer solution $(x, y)=(1,1)$ and the latter to Ljunggren's result [2] that the equation $x^{2}+1=2 y^{n}$ has only two positive integer solutions $(x, y)=(1,1)$ and $(239,13)$. However, Ljunggren's proof is quite difficult. Steiner and Tzanakis [3] gave a simpler proof, which uses lower bounds for linear forms in logarithms and is still analytic.

We note that the latter fact on $p^{2}+1=2 q^{m}$ mentioned above can be proved in a more elementary way. In his earlier paper [4], Størmer proved that, if $x, y, A, t$ are positive integers such that $x^{2}+1=2 A, y^{2}+1=2 A^{2^{t}}$ and $x \pm y \equiv 0(\bmod A)$, then $(x, y, A, 1)=(3,7,5,2)$ or $(5,239,13,2)$. We can easily see that if $A$ is prime and $x^{2}+1 \equiv y^{2}+1 \equiv 0(\bmod A)$, then we must have $x \pm y \equiv 0(\bmod A)$. Now the latter fact for $p^{2}+1=2 q^{m}$ mentioned above immediately follows. Moreover, the above-mentioned result for $x^{2}+1=2 y^{m}$ with $m$ odd had also already been proved
in [4]. Hence, the above statement follows from results in [4]. The most advanced method used in [4] is classical arithmetic in Gaussian integers.

Moreover, we can prove the latter fact on $p^{2}+1=2 q^{m}$ in a completely elementary way. Applying Théorème 1 of Størmer [5] to $x^{2}-2 q^{2} y^{2}=-1$, we see that if $(x, y)=\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ is a solution of $x^{2}-2 q^{2} y^{2}=-1$ and $y_{0}$ is a power of $q$, then $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ must be the smallest solution of $x^{2}-2 q^{2} y^{2}=-1$. Hence, for any give prime $q, x^{2}+1=2 q^{2^{t}}$ can have at most one positive integer solution $(x, t)$.

Anothor elementary way is to use a theorem of Carmichael [1] (a simpler proof is given by Yabuta [6]). Let $(x, y)=\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$ be the smallest solution of $x^{2}-2 y^{2}=-1$ with $y$ divisible by $q$. Carmichael's theorem applied to the Pell sequence implies that, if $(x, y)=\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)$ is another solution of $x^{2}-2 y^{2}=-1$ with $y$ divisible by $q$, then $y_{2}$ must have a prime factor other than $q$. Hence, $y_{2}$ cannot be a power of $q$.

Corrigenda to p. 213, Table 1, the right row for $N$ :

- The fifth column should be $856800=2^{5} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 5^{2} \cdot 7 \cdot 17$.
- The sixth column should be $1321920=2^{6} \cdot 3^{5} \cdot 5 \cdot 17$.
- The twelfth column should be $30844800=2^{7} \cdot 3^{4} \cdot 5^{2} \cdot 7 \cdot 17$.
- Moreover, we extended our search limit to $2^{32}$ and found four more integers $N$ dividing $\sigma_{\infty}\left(\sigma_{\infty}(N)\right)$ :

| $N$ | $k$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $1304784000=2^{7} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 5^{3} \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 41$ | 7 |
| $1680459462=2^{9} \cdot 3^{3} \cdot 11 \cdot 43 \cdot 257$ | 5 |
| $4201148160=2^{8} \cdot 3^{3} \cdot 5 \cdot 11 \cdot 43 \cdot 257$ | 6 |
| $4210315200=2^{6} \cdot 3^{5} \cdot 5^{2} \cdot 7^{2} \cdot 13 \cdot 17$ | 8 |
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